NORT	TH CAROLINA	FILE NO(S):
	COUNTY Division	IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION
THE S	STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)
Vs.		ORDER ORDER Supplemental Order Regarding Commitment to Central Regional Hospital
	Defendant.)
has co (CRH)	NCGS 15A-1001, et. seq.; AND IT and Defendant is represented IT APPEARING that defendant was insidered the following: (1) Testimon (2) Testimony of Dr of dated and, PhD dated; (5) Ev	on regarding defendant's ability to proceed to trial T APPEARING that the State is represented by by Richard Wells of the Public Defender's Office; present in court; AND IT APPEARING the Court by of Dr of Central Regional Hospital CRH; (3) Written CRH Forensic Reports from Dr; (4) Written CRH Forensic Report from Dr. ridence of the alleged crimes as summarized by the dd (7) arguments of counsel. BASED UPON the , the Court enters the following order.
	FIN	DINGS OF FACT
1.	1. Defendant is age and has a well-documented history of having low mental functioning. Evidence of record suggests that Defendant's cognitive deficits are due (at least in part) to a lack of oxygen at the time of his birth. During his life, defendant has been given IQ tests which disclose the following: Full-scale IQ of (DATE); Full-scale IQ of (DATE); and Full-scale IQ of (DATE). Defendant's low IQ places him in the mild to moderate range of intellectual disability.	
2.	group home setting throughout his li	efendant has lived, predominately, in a supervised or fe. During a brief period he lived on his own as an re properly for himself as he was reportedly living
3.	trial and defendant was involunta commitment, defendant took part in	ined that defendant was incapable of proceeding to arily committed to CRH on DATE. After his both Group and Individual Education in an effort to ould be capable of proceeding to trial. Despite these

efforts, Dr	opined that defendant was incapable of prod	ceeding to trial and			
that it was her medical	opinion that he was non-restorable to con	npetency. In brief			
summary, Dr	indicated that defendant's cognitive reason	oning was concrete			
and child-like and that he	e lacked the ability to undertake much abstrac	t reasoning. While			
defendant is able to part	rot back court-related information, Dr	indicates he			
lacks the ability to understand, and adequately take part in, the court process. Dr					
indicated it was rare to	see an IQ as low as defendant's and she	e further indicated			
defendant was one of a	very small number of patients she has ever	diagnosed as non-			
restorable.					

- 4. Defendant testified and was examined by the Court. While it appeared defendant tried to answer all questions posed him, defendant's responses were child-like. Defendant was unable to identify the prosecutor despite being present throughout this hearing.
- 5. Based upon the evidence presented, the Court finds defendant suffers from a mental defect or illness under NCGS 15A-1001. The Court finds that defendant's mental condition, while perhaps incrementally ameliorated by education, is likely permanent.
- 6. The Court finds and concludes that defendant's mental condition prevents defendant from adequately understanding the nature and object of the proceedings; from comprehending his situation in reference to the proceedings; and from assisting his counsel in a rational or reasonable manner.
- 7. It appears to the satisfaction of the Court, based upon the testimony of Dr. _____ and other evidence of record, that defendant will not gain capacity to proceed. Therefore, the Court herein dismisses these charges without prejudice pursuant to NCGS 15A-1008(a)(1).
- 8. The Court notes that defendant is before the Court on criminal charges of ______ (violent crimes) where the evidence indicates defendant attempted to sexually assault two female employees at a retail store during business hours. Defendant's conduct endangered and caused harm to the victims. Defendant was living in a group home; however he was alone and without supervision at the time of the incident.
- 9. Under NCGS §§ 15A-1003 and 122C-231, et. seq., the Court finds that defendant is mentally ill and is dangerous both to himself and others. The Court finds and concludes that defendant should be involuntarily committed.
- 10. Because this matter is dismissed, this ends the criminal prosecution. Therefore, Central Regional Hospital (CRH) shall <u>not</u> return defendant to the jail under NCGS 15A-1004. CRH shall consider this involuntary commitment to be one under NCGS 122C for persons who are dangerous to themselves or others.
- 11. The Court finds and concludes that Defendant needs a legal guardian. Prior to his release from hospitalization, Central Regional Hospital (CRH) should pursue the process for assigning a legal guardian to Defendant. Before his release from CRH, CRH shall also explore a physical placement for Defendant which will keep both him and the public safe. See NCGS § 15A-1004(c) & (e).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Defendant has a severe mental illness or defect under NCGS § 15A-1001.
- 2. Defendant's mental illness or defect prevents defendant from adequately understanding the nature and object of the proceedings; from comprehending his situation in reference to the proceedings; and from assisting his counsel in a rational or reasonable manner.
- 3. It appears to the satisfaction of the Court that defendant will not gain capacity to proceed. Therefore, the Court herein dismisses these charges without prejudice pursuant to NCGS § 15A-1008(a)(1).
- 4. Defendant's mental illness or defect makes him dangerous both to himself and others and defendant should be involuntarily committed.
- 5. Because this matter is dismissed, this ends the criminal prosecution. Therefore, Central Regional Hospital (CRH) shall <u>not</u> return defendant to the jail under NCGS § 15A-1004. CRH shall consider this involuntary commitment to be one under NCGS § 122C for persons who are dangerous to themselves or others.
- 6. As is usually done in commitment cases under NCGS § 15A-1003, the Court enters a standard Involuntary Commitment Order using the AOC form **AOC-SP-304A**. However, to the extent there is any conflict between the AOC-SP-304A Order and this Supplemental Order, the terms of this Supplemental Order shall control.

WHERFORE, the Court orders the following:

- 1. Defendant is not capable of proceeding to trial and will not gain capacity to proceed.
- 2. The Court herein dismisses these charges without prejudice pursuant to NCGS § 15A-1008(a)(1).
- 3. Defendant shall be Involuntarily Committed to Central Regional Hospital (CRH). The _____ County Sheriff shall transport defendant to CRH pursuant to the terms of the standard AOC-SP-304A Order which is also filed today.
- 4. To the extent there is any conflict between the AOC-SP-304A Order and this Supplemental Order, the terms of this Supplemental Order shall control.
- 5. Because this matter is dismissed, this ends the criminal prosecution. Therefore, Central Regional Hospital (CRH) shall <u>not</u> return defendant to the jail under NCGS § 15A-1004. CRH shall consider this involuntary commitment to be one under NCGS § 122C for persons who are dangerous to themselves or others.
- 6. The Court finds and concludes that Defendant needs a legal guardian. Prior to his release from hospitalization, CRH should pursue the process for assigning a legal guardian to

Defendant. Before his release from CRH, CRI for Defendant which will keep both him and the	
This the day of	, 2014.
Hon	
A 4 . 11 4 . 6	
Acceptable as to form:	
A set District Attorney	
, Asst. District Attorney	
Richard Wells, Asst. Public Defender	