NC Office of Indigent Defense Services

FY06 North Carolina Public Defender and Private Assigned Counsel Cost Analysis

March 2007

North Carolina Office of Indigent Defense Services FY06 Public Defender & Private Assigned Counsel Cost Analysis

Changes in Methodology

Due to enhancements in data collection and the availability of several recent IDS studies, a number of refinements were made to the methodology used in the FY06 PD and PAC Cost Analysis study. These refinements include:

- Detailed PD disposition data by case type. In FY06, PD offices began collecting disposition data by case type, including felony class.
- Increased accuracy in PD disposition reporting. FY06 was the first year that PD disposition data has been audited for accuracy—an improvement requested by the PD offices.
- More up-to-date and detailed information on PAC average hours per case type. In FY05, IDS
 conducted two studies looking at private appointed counsel average hours and frequency
 distributions per case by case type in District and Superior Court, including average hours by
 Superior Court felony class.
- Access to district-wide disposition and cost data by fiscal year. In January 2005, IDS implemented a new policy requiring attorneys to submit their fee applications within one year of the disposition date. The policy enabled IDS to determine the true number of cases disposed each fiscal year and how much they cost.¹
- The study added information about the "value-added activities" PD offices engaged in during FY06. Value-added activities were activities PD offices conducted, in addition to resolving indigent criminal or civil cases for their clients, which were important to improving the efficiency of the court system or enhancing the quality of PAC representation in their district. For example:
 - ❖ Most PD offices administered and managed the attorney appointment system for their district, including private appointed cases.
 - ❖ Most PD offices represented indigent defense on criminal justice committees and boards working to make our court systems more efficient, cost-effective, and fair.
 - ❖ Most PD offices provided orientation, training, consultation, and legal resources for new and current attorneys on the private appointed rosters in their districts to help improve the overall quality of indigent defense representation in their district.

1

¹ Please note that because the IDS fee application policy gives attorneys a year to submit their fee applications, IDS will continue to experience a 1 year lag between the end of the fiscal year and knowing the number of cases and costs disposed in that fiscal year.

Introduction

IDS was not surprised that PD office cost savings in FY06 were less than they were in FY05 (see *Key Findings*). PD office costs have been rising over the last few years due to legislated state employee pay increases, increases in the cost of health and retirement benefits, the cost of replacing out-dated computer, copier and other office equipment, and increased travel and other office expenses affected by rising gas prices and inflation. In comparison, the PAC hourly rate for both capital and non-capital work remained unchanged through FY06. IDS established the standard \$85 per hour rate for capital work on July 1, 2001 and the \$65 per hour rate for non-capital work on April 1, 2002. The hourly rate for capital work has recently been increased to \$95 per hour, but this increase did not affect FY06 PAC costs.

Past increases in PD office savings have resulted when a new PD office was established, an old office was expanded, or PD offices increased their productivity. While a new PD office, Wake, was added in FY06, significant cost savings from this office were not projected to occur until FY07. Therefore, IDS was not surprised that this fiscal year's PD office cost savings were lower than last year.

Key Findings

PD offices handled 31.8% of PAC and PD Office disposed cases combined, but accounted for 23.6% of total PAC and PD expenditures.

In FY06, PD offices saved IDS \$1.97 million compared to what it would have cost if PAC had handled their cases. This was a decrease in savings of \$919,661 (or 31%) from FY05. The main reasons for the decrease were:

• Most of the decrease in PD office cost savings was due to growth in PD office expenditures, including: 1) a legislative 2% raise for all state employees, 2) statewide increases in retirement and health benefit costs, 3) \$200,000 expenditures on computer and copier equipment purchases to replace obsolete or non-operational equipment, and 4) a \$91,460 (or 77.5%) increase in District 1 PD Office expenditures to bring their staffing levels up to where they needed to be.

Expenditure or Cost Increase	Impact on Cost Savings
FY05 PD Office Personnel Cost Increases	\$ 660,669
Computer/Copier Purchases	\$ 200,000
District 1 Increase (Less 2% Raise)	\$ 84,474
Total	\$ 945,143

• PD office cost savings also declined due to a decrease in the average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney in five PD Offices, including: Cumberland, Chatham/Orange, Hoke/Scotland, Robeson, and Gaston. Please note, however, that FY06 was the first year PD disposition reports were audited, as requested by the PD offices. The increased accuracy in reporting disposed cases has refined our ability to measure office productivity. Thus, for some offices, some or all of the decrease in productivity may not reflect an actual decrease, but, rather, a more realistic assessment of productivity.

Nine of the fourteen PD offices were found to be cost-effective: Buncombe, Carteret, Cumberland, Durham, Guilford, Forsyth, Mecklenburg, Pitt, and Wake.

This year, the study also looked at the impact of having a public defender office on district-wide indigent expenditures. To assess the overall impact of having a PD office in a district, the study compared the average expenditure per disposition in districts with a PD office to the average statewide PAC expenditure per disposition. If the average expenditure per disposition in PD district was lower than the statewide PAC average expenditure per disposition, then, all factors being equal, this was evidence that indigent defense expenditures in the district would significantly increase without the PD office.

Eight of fourteen districts with PD offices had average expenditures per disposition below the statewide PAC average. In addition, thirteen of the fourteen districts with PD offices had district-wide average expenditures per disposition below PAC average expenditures per disposition within their district.

	Average Expenditures per Disposition																					
		10	12		14		15B atham/	408	Hales/		6B	18	21	26	27A	21						
	Dis	trict 1		oa Pitt	3B Carteret	v		12 Cumberland	ı D	urham		aunam/ range		otland			is Iford		zo denburg		Bunco	_
FY06 Adj. District Expenditure per Disp.	\$	521	\$	421	\$ 330	\$	306	\$ 485	\$	293	\$	359	\$	471	\$	500	\$ 394	\$ 337	\$ 305	\$ 298	\$	247
FY06 PAC District Expenditure per Disp.	\$	517	\$	481	\$ 468	\$	337	\$ 726	\$	604	\$	441	\$	651	\$	726	\$ 604	\$ 516	\$ 494	\$ 496	\$	329
FY05 PAC Statewide Cost per Disp.	\$	369	\$	369	\$ 369	\$	369	\$ 369	\$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$ 369	\$ 369	\$ 369	\$ 369	\$	369
PD Office Expend. Per Disp.	\$	245	\$	245	\$ 245	\$	245	\$ 245	\$	245	\$	245	\$	245	\$	245	\$ 245	\$ 245	\$ 245	\$ 245	\$	245
Indigent Defense FY05	\$	321	\$	321	\$ 321	\$	321	\$ 321	\$	321	\$	321	\$	321	\$	321	\$ 321	\$ 321	\$ 321	\$ 321	\$	321

Some notable PD office improvements in FY06 include:

- The Buncombe PD office improved their cost-effectiveness again. In FY06, the office saved \$253,062 from what it would have cost if PAC had handled their cases. Their average misdemeanor units per attorney rose from 669 in FY05 to 695 in FY06. The office initiated a contract at the end of FY05, when they lost a number of APDs. The contract combined with new hires has kept their cost-effectiveness high.
- The Carteret PD office has steadily improved its cost-effectiveness over the last four years. In FY06, Carteret produced cost savings, instead of losses, for the first time. They saved approximately \$8,284 from what it would have cost if PAC had handled their cases. Carteret also increased their productivity for the fourth straight year in a row. Their average misdemeanor units per attorney rose from 449 in FY02 to 609 in FY05 to 761 in FY06—a 69.5% improvement over four years. Carteret's achievement is remarkable considering its small size; the PD office has 2 attorneys and 1 support staff.
- The PD office in District 1 continues to lose less money than initially projected. District 1 faces unique challenges. The office has to serve seven counties and, geographically, its territory is riddled with rivers and sounds, which results in extraordinarily long travel requirements. IDS does not expect this office to ever be cost-effective. IDS estimated that it would cost approximately \$380,000 more annually than it would cost if PAC handled District 1 cases. While District 1 losses in FY06 were greater than they were in FY05, up \$91,460 (or 66.7%), they remain significantly less than predicted. The increase in District 1 losses was due to increased personnel costs. The office was forced to expand their staff size in order to cover the seven counties adequately. The District 1 PD Office cost \$735,810 in FY06. In comparison, in FY05, DA Office expenditures in District 1 were \$1,324,530.
- The Durham PD office improved their cost-effectiveness significantly in FY06. In FY06, the office saved \$240,673, up from \$96,358 in FY05—a 150% increase. Their average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney rose from 506 units per attorney in FY05 to 628 in FY06—a 24.1% increase.

- Once again the Mecklenburg PD Office expanded, adding two new APD positions in FY06. The expansion and an increase in the average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney increased their cost savings in FY06. In FY06, Mecklenburg saved \$1,592,316—up \$365,898 (or 30%) from FY05. The average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney rose from 643 in FY05 to 664 in FY06.
- The Pitt PD office significantly improved its cost-effectiveness as well. The office became cost-effective again, after three years of losses. In FY06, they saved \$70,650, up 152% from FY05. The average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney was the highest it has ever been, rising from 364 in FY02 to 467 in FY06—a 28% increase. Unfortunately, despite this significant improvement, the average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney remains significantly lower than most other offices. The Pitt PD Office has one of the lower attorney hourly rates of all the PD offices. Their attorney hourly rate in FY06 was \$49.43.
- The Wake PD Office completed their first full year of operations. The office saved \$35,291 in FY06 from what it would have cost if PAC had handled their cases. The IDS Fiscal Note projected the office would save \$30,000 in FY06, or year two of the three-year phase-in of the office. The office was very productive. The average misdemeanor units disposed per attorney for the office was 711. The Wake PD Office is projected to save approximately \$390,000 in FY07 and, once the office is operating at full capacity, approximately \$490,000 annually.

Some negative changes in PD office results in FY06 include:

- The Cumberland PD Office was cost-effective in FY06, but its cost savings dropped to \$203,597—a drop of \$169,350 (or 45.4%) from FY05. The drop in savings is explained by both a small increase in office expenditures of \$44,927 (or 3%) and a significant drop in the average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney in FY06. The average dropped from 563 in FY05 to 508 in FY06. The number of District and Superior court disposed cases both dropped in FY06 from FY05. The Cumberland PD Office also has one of the lower attorney hourly rates of all the PD offices. Their attorney hourly rate in FY06 was \$50.42.
- The Chatham/Orange PD office had increased losses this year. In FY05, the office lost \$47,425. In FY06, the office lost \$147,871—over 200% increase in losses. The Chatham/Orange PD Office had cost savings of \$104,663 in FY02. Although the Chatham/Orange office disposed of more capital cases, which usually increases cost savings for an office, this increase was offset by the decrease in the number of cases disposed in Superior Court, which dropped from 424 in FY06 to 321 in FY06. The average misdemeanor units disposed per attorney was 517 in FY06, down from 586 in FY05. Please note that the office expanded from 6 APDs to 8 APDs in FY06 and training, orientation, and incorporating the new positions into their office did have some negative impact on their overall productivity. The office expects they will do better next year. The attorney hourly rate for this office was \$58.27. It is important to note, however, that the district expenditure per disposition for Chatham/Orange has been consistently 20-25% below the PAC statewide expenditures per disposition, which suggests that indigent expenditures would be significantly higher without the presence of this office.

	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05
District Expenditure per Disposition	\$ 319	\$ 282	\$ 319	\$ 299
PAC District Expenditure per Disposition	\$ 209	\$ 250	\$ 379	\$ 362
PAC Statewide Expenditure per Disposition	\$ 399	\$ 380	\$ 393	\$ 369

The Forsyth Office cost savings in FY06 dropped from \$336,129 in FY05 to \$147,236 in FY06. The average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney dropped from 663 in FY05 to 617 in FY06. The decline in savings and in the average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney can be explained by a number of factors. First, office expenditures increased by \$101,089 due to salary and health and retirement benefits increases. The only change to their staff in FY06 was the conversion of a .5 FTE Legal Assistant position into a full-time position. Second, two APDs were out of the office on paid leave for extended periods of time during FY06 due to unusual circumstances.² Together, their absence equaled .45 FTE. If we factored in their absence, the average misdemeanor units disposed per attorney rises to 639. Third, the Forsyth DA tightened up their plea policies in Superior and domestic violence court cases, i.e., the state stopped agreeing to guarantee probation in Superior Court and stopped all plea negotiations, except for consolidation of charges, in domestic violence court cases. The changes in DA policy forced the Forsyth PD office to take these cases to trial. The study's case weighting system formulas do not currently have a method to factor in trials. Thus, the result of an increase in the number of trials was a decrease in the number of cases being disposed and a decrease in the average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney.

FY06 Cost Savings	\$147,236
FY06 Growth in Office Expenditures	\$101,089
Estimated PAC Cost of .425 FTE Missed Work (617 x .425 x 3 hrs x \$65)	\$51,134
Total	\$299,359
FY05 Cost Savings	\$336,129
Difference (attributable to reduced dispositions from increases in trial cases)	-\$36,670

In conclusion, the Forsyth PD Office appears to be working at the same high level this year as last year, despite the drop in average misdemeanor units disposed per attorney due to circumstances beyond their control. If true, Forsyth can expect to return to normal operations in FY07, except for the consequences from the change in DA policy, and should have cost savings around \$200,000.

In addition, the average district expenditure per disposition has declined each year since the Forsyth PD Office was established, and remains significantly below the pre-PD Office district expenditure per disposition rate of \$443 (see table below).

	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06 proj.
District Expenditure per Disposition	\$ 443	\$ 438	\$ 345	\$ 346	\$ 337
PAC District Expenditure per Disposition	\$ 443	\$ 409	\$ 647	\$ 687	\$ 516
PAC Statewide Expenditure per Disposition	\$ 399	\$ 380	\$ 393	\$ 369	\$ 369

• The Gaston PD office cost savings dropped from a savings of \$469,550 in FY05 to a loss of \$151,086 (or -132%) in FY06. The average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney dropped from 789 in FY05 to 544 in FY06. The number of cases disposed in Superior and District court dropped as well. In FY05, Gaston disposed of 7,725 cases, while in FY06, Gaston disposed of 5,619 cases. Another reason for the drop in savings was a significant reduction in the amount of capital work the office handled in FY06 compared to FY05. The office added two APDs to their staff in FY06. The attorney hourly rate in FY06 was \$56.92.

6

² One APD was out on maternity leave and her cases were farmed out beginning April 1, 2006. A second APD was out 2.2 months due to illness.

• The Guilford PD office saved \$199,178 from what it would have cost if PAC had handled their cases, but these savings were significantly less than savings in FY05. In FY05 the Guilford PD office saved \$421,831. In FY06, their savings dropped to \$199,178 (or -53%). The average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney, however, dropped only slightly from 606 in FY05 to 599 in FY06. This indicates that the decline in cost savings in Guilford was not due to any decline in productivity, but a combination of: 1) increased office expenditures, which rose \$244,737 (or 11%) in FY06, 2) greater accuracy in reporting PD dispositions, and 3) greater accuracy in calculating PAC average case costs. The attorney hourly rate in FY06 was \$59.35.

FY06 Cost Savings	\$199,178
FY06 Growth in Office Expenditures	\$244,737
Total	\$443,915
FY05 Cost Savings	\$421,831
Difference	+\$22,084

- The Hoke/Scotland PD office went through a difficult year in FY06. The Public Defender position in this office turned over three times. Richard Jackson left the office to be replaced by Regina Joe, who was then elected District Court Judge. In addition, 5 of the 6 attorney positions changed during the year. Hoke/Scotland faces the difficult challenge of being a small office, with 1 PD and 5 APDs, covering two counties. The office has been struggling since FY03, with FY05 being the first year that the office was not cost-effective. In FY06, their losses increased from \$92,298 in FY05 to \$204,316. The average misdemeanor units disposed per attorney dropped in FY06, from 491 in FY05 to 446 in FY06. It is important to note, however, that in the last six months of FY06 the Chief PD disposed of significantly fewer cases,³ and the office took no new cases for a month when they transitioned to a new Chief PD in July 2005. When we factor in these circumstances, the average misdemeanor units disposed per attorney for the office rose to 511, a 4.1% improvement over the previous year, despite experiencing turnover or change in almost every staff position in the office. Office expenditures for Hoke/Scotland also were high for a PD office. The hourly attorney rate for this office is \$62.19. Currently, Hoke/Scotland has two main offices. IDS explored with Hoke/Scotland the idea of combining the two offices into one main office with a satellite office to reduce overhead, but the court schedules in the two counties make this impractical. A new Public Defender, Jonathan McInnis, was appointed to the office in FY07, and he and his staff will work towards making the office cost-effective again.
- The Robeson PD office losses increased from \$48,044 in FY05 to \$198,375 (or 132%) in FY06. The average number of misdemeanor units disposed per attorney dropped from 547 in FY05 to 538 in FY06. The number of Superior and District court cases dropped from 3,623 in FY05 to 3,159 in FY06. The Robeson office is another office with comparatively high expenditures. The attorney hourly rate for the office in FY06 is \$61.24.

Trend data on the average number of misdemeanor units per attorney is provided in the table on the next page.

7

³ For example, of the total number of superior and district court felony cases disposed by the Chief PD, 85% were disposed during the first half of the year.

		FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06
•	PAC avg.	*	*	*	*	640
•	District 1	NA	NA	NA	311	284
•	Buncombe	600	531	618	669	695
•	Carteret	449	488	573	609	761
•	Chatham/Orange	572	521	620	586	517
•	Cumberland	360	447	507	563	508
•	Durham	624	669	573	506	628
•	Hoke/Scotland	561	531	533	491	446
•	Forsyth	NA	384	551	663	617
•	Gaston	754	703	797	789	544
•	Guilford	623	611	648	606	599
•	Mecklenburg	707	718	628	643	664
•	Robeson	573	619	535	547	538
•	Pitt	364	373	409	394	467
•	Wake	NA	NA	NA	NA	711

^{*} No study available.

Value-Added Activities

The study also collected information about the "value-added activities" in which PD offices engaged. Value-added activities were activities PD offices conducted, in addition to resolving indigent criminal or civil cases for their clients, which were important to improving the efficiency of the court system or enhancing the quality of PAC representation in their district. For example:

- ❖ Most PD offices administered and managed the attorney appointment system for their district, including private appointed cases.
- ❖ Most PD offices represented indigent defense on criminal justice committees and boards working to make our court systems more efficient, cost-effective, and fair.
- Most PD offices provided orientation, training, consultation, and legal resources for new and current attorneys on the private appointed rosters in their districts to help improve the overall quality of indigent defense representation in their district.

Please see the attached table, *Value-Added Activities Performed By Public Defender Offices in FY06*, for the value-added activities in which each office engaged. The activities were self-reported by each office.

Please note that the study did not collect value-added activities performed by private appointed attorneys due to logistical and labor barriers.

Study Limitations

It is important to note this study does <u>not</u> include any assessment of the quality of representation being provided.

This is a cost analysis study only. Currently, IDS is unable to conduct a cost-benefit study. A cost-benefit analysis on Public Defenders and Private Assigned Counsel would compare the cost, efficiency, and quality of their services.

- Cost—PD vs. PAC cost per case by case type
- Efficiency—the average hours it takes a PD vs. a PAC to dispose of similar cases
- Quality—the quality of the representation being received by clients of PDs vs. PACs

Data limitations, however, do not allow such a comprehensive analysis at this time. IDS does not have data on the quality of representation being provided or the average hours it takes a Public Defender to dispose of a case by type of case. PD offices do not currently report any data on hours per case. The data available does allow IDS to compare the relative costs of PAC vs. PD offices and broadly assess their relative rates of productivity by converting office caseloads into misdemeanor units.

Moreover, IDS is aware that PD offices provide value-added activities, such as appointing PAC to cases or assisting clients after a case has been disposed, that are not reflected in the cost-effectiveness analysis. PAC may very well provide value-added activities as well. IDS will consider how to incorporate the information we now collect on value-added activities into the cost-effectiveness study's methodology in the near future.

Not all costs associated with PACs could be quantified at this time. Research confirms that there are additional costs to the State, although not directly to IDS, associated with private assigned counsel that have not yet been quantified. These include, for example, court administrative costs to maintain PAC rosters, notify attorneys of cases, notify clients of attorneys, process fee applications to the AOC, review PAC fee applications, etc. IDS hopes to identify these State costs in the future.

Not all costs associated with PD offices could be quantified at this time. Research also confirms that there are additional PD costs to the State, although not directly to IDS, that have not yet been quantified. These include, for example, what it costs counties to provide office space to Public Defender offices as they are required by statute to do. IDS hopes to quantify these State costs in the future.

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
1	FY	/06 Estimate	d Cost Savi	ings or Loss	s to the Indig	jent Defense	Fund from	Having a F	Public Defen	der Office S	ummary Info	ormation			
		3A	3B	10	12	14	15B Chatham/	16A Hoke/	16B	18	21	26	27A	28	All PD Offices
2	District 1	Pitt	Carteret	Wake	Cumberland	Durham	Orange	Scotland	Robeson	Guilford	Forsyth	Mecklenburg	Gaston	Buncombe	Total
3 FY02					. ,					,			1 .		
7 PD Office Expenditures 8 Cost as PAC			\$ 276,903 \$ 163,317		\$ 1,357,114 \$ 1,219,826		\$ 752,937 \$ 857,600		\$ 930,750 \$ 1,046,596	\$ 2,006,139			\$ 1,115,460 \$ 1,694,517		
9 FY02 PD Savings/Loss			\$ (113,586)		\$ (137,288)		\$ 104,663						\$ 579,057		
10		Ψ 12,544	Ψ (110,000)		ψ (107,200)	Ψ 047,010	Ψ 104,000	Ψ 02,001	ψ 110,040	Ψ 270,204		Ψ 1,220,020	Ψ 0/0,00/	Ψ 404,125	ψ 2,072,100
11 FY03 12 PD Office Expenditures	1 .	\$ 806.257	\$ 247,615		\$ 1,365,588	£ 1244400	\$ 733,880	¢ 552.046	e 072 227	\$ 1,987,676	¢ 450.000	¢ 2.242.026	£ 4 400 270	\$ 1,022,654	\$ 13,816,802
13 PAC Cost			\$ 176.934		\$ 1,426,332		\$ 676,406			\$ 2,351,329		\$ 4,528,212			
14 FY03 PD Savings/Loss			\$ (70,682)		\$ 60,744						\$ (228,167)		\$ 307,759	\$ 115,240	\$ 2,220,562
15				į	1								(6	excluding Forsyth)	\$ 2,448,729
16 FY04					·					,					
17 PD Office Expenditures			\$ 234,202		\$ 1,391,669		\$ 775,616							\$ 1,045,531	
18 Cost as PAC 19 FY04 PD Savings/Loss			\$ 186,798 \$ (47,404)		\$ 1,655,783 \$ 264,114		\$ 715,178 \$ (60,439)			\$ 2,466,724 \$ 402,403			\$ 1,748,153 \$ 561,124		
20 FY04 PD Savings/Loss		φ (1/4,061)	φ (47,404)		φ ∠04,114	φ 201,001	φ (bu,439)	φ 9,530	φ 151,4/1	φ 40∠,403	φ 252,004	φ 900,288	φ 301,124	φ 109,509	φ 2,000,202
21 FY05													·		
	\$ 414,585	\$ 805,849	\$ 244,021		\$ 1,480,471	\$ 1,614,010	\$ 852,942	\$ 643,193	\$ 1,060,215	\$ 2,219,576	\$ 1,385,683	\$ 4,216,436	\$ 1,295,622	\$ 1,008,892	\$ 17,241,495
23 Cost as PAC	\$ 277,521	\$ 671,168	\$ 206,994		\$ 1,853,418	\$ 1,710,368	\$ 805,516	\$ 550,895	\$ 1,210,546	\$ 2,641,407	\$ 1,721,812	\$ 5,442,854	\$ 1,765,172	\$ 1,250,528	
3	\$ (137,064)	\$ (134,681)	\$ (37,027)		\$ 372,947	\$ 96,358	\$ (47,426)	\$ (92,298)	\$ 150,331	\$ 421,831	\$ 336,129	\$ 1,226,418	\$ 469,550	\$ 241,636	\$ 2,866,704
25															
28 FY06 29 PD Office Expenditures Adjusted	\$735,810	\$854,182	\$275,964	\$1,148,443	\$1,435,544	\$1,816,318	\$965,223	\$672,004	\$1,053,713	\$2.464.212	¢ 1.496.772	\$ 4,307,165	\$1,442,690	\$1,165,495	\$19,823,637
30 Cost as PAC	\$507,285	\$924,833	\$284,248	\$1,183,734	\$1,639,141	\$2,056,991	\$817,353	\$467,688		\$2,663,491		\$5,899,481			\$21,794,082
31 FY06 PD Savings/Loss	(\$228,524)	\$70,650	\$8,284	\$35,291	\$203,597	\$240,673	(\$147,870)	(\$204,316)	(\$48,044)	\$199,178	\$147,236	\$1,592,316	(\$151,086)	\$253,062	\$1,970,445
32		1						· ·							
33 Change in Office Expenditures	\$321,225	\$48,333	\$31,943	\$1,148,443	(\$44,927)	\$202,308	\$112,281	\$28,811	(\$6,502)	\$244,737	\$101,089	\$90,729	\$147,068	\$156,603	\$2,582,142
34 % Change PD Office Expenditures	77.5%	6.0%	13.1%	N/A	-3.0%	12.5%	13.2%	4.5%	-0.6%	11.0%	7.3%	2.2%	11.4%	15.5%	15.0%
35 % Change in Cost as PAC 36 Change in Savings/Loss	\$2.8% \$ (91,460) \$	37.8% \$ 205,331	37.3% \$ 45,311	N/A N/A	-11.6% \$ (169,350)	20.3% \$ 144,315	1.5% \$ (100,444)	-15.1% \$ (112,018)		0.8% \$ (222,653)	-5.1% \$ (188,893)	8.4% \$ 365,898			8.4% \$ (896,259)
37 % Change Savings/Loss	66.7%	152.5%	122.4%	IN/A	-45.4%	149.8%	-211.8%	-121.4%	-132.0%	-52.8%	-56.2%	29.8%			-31.3%
38	00.7 /8	132.376	122.4/0		-43.4 /0	145.070	-211.076	-121.470	-132.076	-32.6761		b savings w/o C			-31.376
39 No. Attorneys	9.00	9.0	2.0	14.0	15.0	20.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	22.0	14.0	42.8			196.8
45 No. Attorney Positions Adjusted	8.19	9.0	2.0	10.8	14.8	19.1	8.6	5.6	9.0	21.6	14.0	40.6	13.2	10.8	187.3
46		i i													
47 Office Average Misdemeanor Units per A 48 FY06 PAC	Attorney	640			1				ı				1		PD Avg.
49 FY02 PD		364	449		360	624	572	561	573	579		707	754	600	558
50 FY03 PD		373	488		447	669	521	531	619	611	384	718	703	531	550
51 FY04 PD		409	573		507	573	620	533	535	648	591	628	797	618	586
52 FY05 PD	311	394	609		563	506	586	491	547	606	663	643	789	669	567
53 FY06 PD 54 Change from FY05	284 (27)	467 73	761 152	711 711	508 (55)	628 122	517 (69)	446 (45)	538 (9)	599 (7)	617 (46)	664 21	544 (245)	695 26	570 43
55 % Change	-8.7%	18.5%	24.9%	/11	-9.8%	24.1%	-11.7%	-9.1%		-1.2%	-6.9%	3.2%	\ '-/		0.4%
65 % Change	-0.1%	10.5%	24.970		-9.0%	24.1%	-11.1%	-9.1%	-1.0%	-1.∠%	-0.9%	3.2%	-31.1%	3.9%	0.4%
66 PD Office Raw Dispositions	'	,		·					'	•	·			,	
67 FY02		1,187	388		2,356	4,369	1,531	1,208	2,173	5,090		8,339	4,122	2,565	33,328
68 FY03		2,231	593		3,896	6,874	2,378	1,772	3,680	7,982	642	15,472	6,334	3,784	55,638
69 FY04 70 FY05	659	2,238 2,225	679 698		4,269 5.234	7,940 7,873	2,729 3,156	1,994 2.077	3,244 3,623	8,153 7,712	5,471 6.342	18,000 18,293	7,578 7,725	4,547 5.051	66,842 70.668
70 FY05 71 FY06	1,406	2,225	1,043	5,041	5,234 4,574	9,657	3,156	1,846	3,159	7,712 8,308	6,342	19,557	5,619	5,823	70,668
72 % Change in Raw Disp.	113.4%	9.1%	49.4%	0,041	-12.6%	22.7%	-4.1%	-11.1%		7.7%	-1.8%	6.9%	-27.3%		10.0%
73 74 PD Wtd Disp														Change w/o Wake	2.4%
75 FY05	1,189	3,149	1,218		8,454	10,114	4,624	2,679	4,924	12,733	9,300	25,840	10,251	6,383	100,858
76 FY06	2.325	4,202	1,521	7.665	7,530	12,002	4,624	2,679	4,924	12,733	9,300 8,641	25,640	7.180	7,511	110,656
77 % Change	48.9%	25.1%	19.9%	.,000	-12.3%	15.7%	-3.6%	-6.7%	-2.1%	1.6%	-7.6%	4.0%	-42.8%	15.0%	8.5%
78															

	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
		F	Y06 Estimate	d Cost Sav	vings or Los	s to the Indig	ent Defense	Fund from	Having a F	ublic Defen	der Office S	Summary Inf	ormation			
2	District Indigent Expenditures	District 1	3A Pitt	3B Carteret	10 Wake	12 Cumberland	14 Durham	15B Chatham/ Orange	16A Hoke/ Scotland	16B Robeson	18 Guilford	21 Forsyth	26 Mecklenburg	27A Gaston	28 Buncombe	All PD Offices Total
80	FY02 PD Office Expenditures	\$ -		\$ 276,903		\$ 1,357,114		\$ 752,937	\$ 558,630		\$ 2,006,139		\$ 2,620,350	\$ 1,115,460	\$ 980,070	\$ 12,835,961
		\$ 654,629		\$ 107,145		\$ 2,247,934					\$ 1,583,918			\$ 975,582		\$ 21,028,006
82	Total County Costs	\$ 654,629	\$ 1,697,664	\$ 384,048	\$ 3,820,368	\$ 3,605,048	\$ 2,402,021	\$ 1,259,580	\$ 936,185	\$ 2,244,917	\$ 3,590,057	\$ 2,806,040	\$ 6,738,377	\$ 2,091,042	\$ 1,633,992	\$ 33,863,967
	FY03 PD Office Expenditures	\$ -	\$ 806,257	\$ 247,615	\$ -	\$ 1,365,588	\$ 1,344,408	\$ 733,880	\$ 553,946	\$ 973,337	\$ 1,987,676	\$ 459,233	\$ 3,212,936	\$ 1,109,270	\$ 1,022,654	\$13,816,802
	FY03 PAC Fees by Disp. Date (YTD)	718,819	856,722	146,049	4,254,568	2,614,564	1,118,246	502,913	474,479	1,299,597	1,420,100	2,517,017	4,064,725	940,333	775,044	\$21,703,175
	Total County Costs FY06 Change in County Expenditures	\$ 718,819 \$ 64,191			\$ 4,254,568 \$ 434,200		\$ 2,462,654 \$ 60,633	\$ 1,236,792 \$ (22,788)			\$ 3,407,776 \$ (182,281)			\$ 2,049,602	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$ 35,519,976 \$ 1,656,010
90	% Change	9.8%	-2.0%	2.5%	11.4%	10.4%	2.5%	-1.8%	9.9%	1.2%	-5.1%	6.1%		-2.0%	10.0%	4.9%
91		-														
	FY04 PD Office Expenditures FY04 PAC Fees by Disp. Date (YTD)	\$0 810,365	\$831,080 1,186,803	\$234,202 193,456	\$0 4,891,942	\$1,391,669 2,441,361	\$1,497,181 1,223,293	\$775,616 588,828	\$575,309 699,492	\$949,523 1,492,228	\$2,064,320 1,816,121	\$1,277,667 1,302,008	\$3,837,037 4,054,961	\$1,187,029 712,508	\$1,045,531 1,018,025	\$15,666,164 \$22,431,389
	Total County Costs	\$ 810,365	\$ 2,017,882	\$ 427,658	\$ 4,891,942	\$ 3,833,030		\$ 1,364,444	\$ 1,274,801	\$ 2,441,751	\$ 3,880,441	\$ 2,579,675		\$ 1,899,537	\$ 2,063,556	\$ 38,097,552
		\$ 91,545			\$ 637,374		\$ 257,820	\$ 127,651	\$ 246,376	\$ 168,817				\$ (150,065)		\$ 2,577,576
96 97	% Change	12.7%	21.3%	8.6%	15.0%	-3.7%	10.5%	10.3%	24.0%	7.4%	13.9%	-13.3%	8.4%	-7.3%	14.8%	7.3%
98	FY05 PD Office Expenditures	\$ 414,585		\$ 244,021		\$ 1,480,471		\$ 852,942			\$ 2,219,576			\$ 1,295,622		\$ 17,241,495
			\$ 1,411,066								\$ 2,006,096				\$ 932,685	\$ 23,821,022
		\$ 1,136,370 \$ 326,005		ψ 101 ; 100		\$ 3,857,663 \$ 24,633		\$ 1,382,787 \$ 18,343			\$ 4,225,672 \$ 345,230			\$ 1,905,978 \$ 6,441	\$ 1,941,577 \$ (121,979)	\$ 41,062,517 \$ 2,964,964
102	% Change	40.2%	9.9%	7.0%	5.7%	0.6%	16.7%	1.3%	-3.1%	11.4%	8.9%	17.2%	9.1%	0.3%	-5.9%	7.8%
104	FY06 PD Office Expenditures	\$735,810	\$854,182	\$275,964	\$1,148,443	\$1,435,544	£1 016 210	\$965,223	\$672,004	\$1,053,713	\$2,464,313	¢1 497 619	\$4,307,165	\$1,442,690	\$1,165,495	\$10.924.492
	FY06 PAC Fees by Disp. Date (YTD)	450,021	1,125,267	\$275,964 190,908	3,629,421	1,965,853	\$1,816,318 1,636,719	\$965,223 419,716	560,215	1,404,971	1,936,944	\$1,487,618 1,281,980	3,594,251	479,776	923,498	\$19,824,483 \$19,599,539
107	Total County Costs	\$ 1,185,830	\$ 1,979,449	\$ 466,872	\$ 4,777,864	\$ 3,401,397	\$ 3,453,037	\$ 1,384,939	\$ 1,232,219	\$ 2,458,684	\$ 4,401,256	\$ 2,769,598	\$ 7,901,415	\$ 1,922,467	\$ 2,088,993	\$ 39,424,021
		\$ 49,461														\$ (1,638,495)
109	% Change	4.4%	-10.7%	2.1%	-7.6%	-11.8%	8.8%	0.2%	-0.2%	-9.6%	4.2%	-8.4%	-8.3%	0.9%	7.6%	-4.0%
111	FY06 Adjusted (assumes 80% of fee ap															
	FY06 PD Office Expenditures + 20% FY06 PAC Fees + 20%	\$735,810 \$ 540,025	\$854,182 \$ 1,350,320	\$275,964 \$ 229,090	\$1,148,443 \$ 4,355,306	\$1,435,544 \$ 2,359,024	\$1,816,318 \$ 1,964,063	\$965,223 \$ 503,659	\$672,004 \$ 672,258	\$1,053,713 \$ 1,685,966	\$2,464,313 \$ 2,324,332	\$1,487,618	\$4,307,165 \$ 4,313,101	\$1,442,690 \$ 575,732	\$1,165,495 \$ 1,108,198	\$19,824,483 \$23,519,446
	Total County Costs Adjusted	\$1,275,834	\$2,204,503	\$505,053	\$5,503,749	\$3,794,568	\$3,780,381	\$1,468,882	\$1,344,262	\$2,739,678	\$4,788,645	\$ 1,538,375 \$3,025,993	\$8,620,265	\$2,018,422	\$2,273,693	\$43,343,929
	Change in Est. County Expend.	\$ 139,465					\$ 605,982	\$ 86,095	\$ 109,325							\$ 2,281,412
116	% change	11.8%	-0.6%	10.2%	6.9%	-1.9%	17.5%	6.2%	8.9%	0.8%	12.8%	0.1%	0.1%	5.8%	15.9%	5.8%
	District Indigent Dispositions		İ									L	i			
119	FY02 PD Disp.	-	1,187	388	-	2,356	4,369	1,531	1,208	2,173	5,090	-		4,122	2,565	33,328
	FY02 PAC Disp FY02 PD & PAC	1,745 1,745	2,410 3,597	258 646	13,524 13,524	3,221 5,577	1,736 6,105	2,421 3,952	576 1,784	1,811 3,984	3,514 8,604	6,335 6,335	10,676 19,015	1,022 5,144	1,654 4,219	50,903 84,231
122	110211241710	1,740	0,007	040	10,024	0,077	0,100	0,002	1,704	0,004	0,004	0,000	10,010	0,144	4,210	04,201
	FY03 PD Disp.	-	2,231	593	-	3,896	6,874	2,378	1,772	3,680	7,982	642	15,472	6,334	3,784	55,638
	FY03 PAC Disp FY03 PD & PAC	1,714 1,714	1,915 4,146	354 947	14,221 14,221	3,041 6,937	1,905 8,779	2,009 4.387	2,419	1,623 5,303	2,958 10.940	6,150 6,792	8,507 23,979	1,262 7,596	1,875 5,659	48,181 103,819
	% change PAC & PD	-1.8%	15.3%	46.6%	5.2%	24.4%	43.8%	11.0%		33.1%	27.2%	-, -	-,	47.7%	34.1%	23.3%
127																
	FY04 PD Disp. FY04 PAC Disp	1.627	2,238 2,499	679 436	15,187	4,269 2,634	7,940 2,009	2,729 1,552	1,994 885	3,244 1.963	8,153 3.341	5,471 2.012	18,000 7,725	7,578 1.307	4,547 1,911	66,842 45,088
130	FY04 PD & PAC	1,627	4,737	1,115	15,187	6,903	9,949	4,281	2,879	5,207	11,494	7,483	25,725	8,885	6,458	111,930
	% change PAC & PD	-5.1%	14.3%	17.7%	6.8%	-0.5%	13.3%	-2.4%	19.0%	-1.8%	5.1%	10.2%	7.3%	17.0%	14.1%	7.8%
132	FY05 PD Disp.	659	2,225	698		5,234	7,873	3,156	2,077	3,623	7,712	6,342	18,293	7,725	5,051	70,668
134	FY05 PAC Disp	1,523	2,590	438	16,424	2,533	2,156	1,462	837	2,134	4,001	2,384	8,490	1,305	2,235	48,512
	FY05 PD & PAC	2,182	4,815	1,136	16,424	7,767	10,029	4,618	2,914	5,757	11,713	8,726	26,783	9,030	7,286	119,180
136	% change PAC & PD	34.1%	1.6%	1.9%	8.1%	12.5%	0.8%	7.9%	1.2%	10.6%	1.9%	16.6%	4.1%	1.6%	12.8%	6.5%
	FY06 PD Disp.	1,406	2,427	1,043	5,041	4,574	9,657	3,026	1,846	3,159	8,308	6,231	19,557	5,619	5,823	77,717
	FY06 PAC Disp FY06 PD & PAC Disp.	870 2,276	2,341 4,768	408 1,451	10,784 15,825	2,706 7,280	2,711 12,368	951 3,977	2,707	1,935 5,094	3,206 11,514	2,484 8,715	7,282 26,839	968 6,587	2,811 8,634	40,318 118,035
	% change PAC & PD	4.3%		1,451 27.7%		-6.3%	12,368	-13.9%		-11.5%				-27.1%	18.5%	-1.0%
142																
	FY06 PD Disp.	1,406	2,427	1,043	5,041	4,574	9,657	3,026	1,846	3,159	8,308	6,231	19,557	5,619	5,823	77,717
	FY06 PAC Disp + 20% FY06 PD & PAC + 20% Disp.	1,044 2,450	2,809 5,236	490 1,533	12,941 17,982	3,247 7,821	3,253 12,910	1,141 4,167	1,033 2,879	2,322 5,481	3,847 12,155	2,981 9,212	8,738 28,295	1,162 6,781	3,373 9,196	48,382 126,099
146	Change in Disp	268	421	397	1,558	54	2,881	(451)		(276)	442	486		(2,249)	1,910	6,919
147 148	% change PAC & PD	12.3%	8.7%	34.9%	9.5%	0.7%	28.7%	-9.8%	-1.2%	-4.8%	3.8%	5.6%	5.6%	-24.9%	26.2%	5.8%
									1			1	1	I .	1	

- 1	Ι	В									1								1/	1		М		N		0	_	P
	A	В		С	,	D	<u>'</u>	E		<u> </u>		G		Н			J		ĸ	<u> </u>		IVI		IN		0		Р
1			F	Y06 Es	stimate	d Cos	st Savi	ings or L	.oss 1	to the Ind	igent	Defense	Fund	d from	Having	g a F	ublic D	Defen	der Office	Sumn	nary Info	ormatio	n					
													1	5B														
				3/	Α	3E	3	10		12		14		tham/	16A Ho	ke/	16B	3	18		21	26		27	Α	28	AII	PD Offices
2		Distric	t 1	Pit	tt	Carte	eret	Wake		Cumberland		Durham		ange	Scotla	nd	Robes	son	Guilford	Fo	rsvth	Mecklen	bura	Gast	on	Buncombe		Total
149	PD District-Wide Expenditures per Dispos												0.0	ange			110200				y	moonion	g	- Cuc		24110011120		- Ctu-
150	FY02 District Expenditure per Disp.	•		\$	472	\$	595		\$	646	\$	393	\$	319	\$	525	\$	563	\$ 417	1		\$	354	\$	407	\$ 38	\$	402
151	FY02 PAC District Expenditure per Disp.	\$	375	\$	389	\$	415	\$ 2	282 \$	698	\$	533	\$	209	\$	655	\$	726	\$ 451	\$	443	\$	386	\$	955	\$ 39	5	
	FY02 PAC Statewide Cost per Disp.	\$	399	\$	399	\$	399	\$:	399 \$	399	\$	399	\$	399	\$	399	\$	399	\$ 399	\$	399	\$	399	\$	399	\$ 399	\$	399
153											T					•				1								
154	FY03 District Expenditure per Disp.			\$	401	\$	416		\$	574	\$	281	\$	282	\$	425	\$	429	\$ 311	\$	438	\$	304	\$	270	\$ 318	\$ \$	342
		\$	419	\$	447	\$	413	\$ 2	299 \$	860	\$	587	\$	250	\$	733	\$	801	\$ 480	\$	409	\$	478	\$	745	\$ 41:	3	
	FY03 PAC Statewide Cost per Disp.	\$	380	\$	380	\$	380	\$:	380 \$	380	\$	380	\$	380	\$	380	\$	380	\$ 380	\$	380	\$	380	\$	380	\$ 380	\$	380
157																												
158	FY04 District Expenditure per Disp.			\$	426	\$	384		\$	555	\$	273	\$	319	\$	443	\$	469	\$ 338	\$	345	\$	307	\$	214	\$ 320) \$	340
	FY04 PAC District Expenditure per Disp.	\$	498	\$	475	\$	444 5	\$ 3	322 \$	927	\$	609	\$	379	\$	790	\$	760	\$ 544	\$	647	\$	525	\$	545	\$ 53	3	
	FY04 PAC Statewide Cost per Disp.	\$	393	\$	393	\$	393	\$	393 \$	393	\$	393	\$	393	\$	393	\$	393	\$ 393	\$	393	\$	393	\$	393	\$ 39	\$	393
161																												
162	FY05 District Expenditure per Disp.	\$	521	\$	460	\$	403		\$	497	\$	317	\$	299		424		473	\$ 361	\$	346	\$	322	\$	211	\$ 26	\$	345
	FY05 PAC District Expenditure per Disp.	\$	474	\$	545	\$	487	\$:	315 \$	938	\$	724	\$	362	\$	707	\$	778	\$ 501	\$	687	\$	518	\$	468	\$ 41	7	
164	FY05 PAC Statewide Cost per Disp.	\$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$ 3	369 \$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$ 369	\$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$ 369	\$	369
165																												
	FY06 Adj. District Expenditure per Disp.	\$	521		421		330		306 \$		\$	293		352		467		500			328	\$	305		298		\$	344
	FY06 PAC District Expenditure per Disp.	\$	517	\$	481	\$	468	\$ 3	337 \$	726	\$	604	\$	441	\$	651	\$	726	\$ 604	\$	516	\$	494	\$	496	\$ 329)	
	FY05 PAC Statewide Cost per Disp.	\$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$:	369 \$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$ 369	\$	369	\$	369	\$	369	\$ 369	\$	369
	PD Office Expend. Per Disp.																			1							\$	245
	Indigent Defense FY05																										\$	321
171																												
	change in per case cost	up		down		down		lown	do	own	dowr		up		up		up		down	down		down		up	C	down	9 dov	
	above/below PAC	above		above		below	b	elow	at	bove	belov	N	below		above		above		above	below		below		below	t	elow	7 belo	ow PAC
174																				T								
175	PD Office Attny Hrly Rate (office expenditu	res/(no. at	torney*	1920)																								
	FY02			\$	44.03		72.11		\$			51.27		56.02		8.19		53.86							48.41			51.43
	FY03			\$	47.18		64.48		\$			47.31		55.00		8.88		56.33			77.16		52.71		48.15			52.00
	FY04			\$	54.65		60.99		\$		\$	43.32	\$	60.93		9.93	\$ 5	54.95	\$ 53.97	\$	47.53		50.54		48.49			51.07
	FY05	\$:	6.38	\$	52.46		63.55		\$			42.03		56.74		1.47		61.36			51.62				51.91			53.25
185	FY06	\$ 4	16.81	\$	49.43	\$	71.87	\$ 55	.50 \$	50.42	\$	49.50	\$	58.27	\$ 6	2.19	\$ 6	61.24	\$ 59.35	\$	55.31	\$	55.29	\$	56.92	\$ 56.1	3 \$	55.11

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р
1					FY06 Pub	lic Defende	r Office W			าร						
		B:	D:44					Chatham/Or			0 11/					A11 O.C.
3	Raw Dispositions	District 1	Pitt 2,427	Carteret 1,043	Wake 5,041	Cumberland 4.574	Durham 9,657	ange 3,026	Scotland 1,846	Robeson 3,159	Guilford 8,308	Forsyth 6,231	Mecklenburg 19,557	Gaston 5,619	Buncombe 5,823	All Offices 77,717
4	Weighted Dispositions	1,406 2,325	4,202	1,521	7,665	7,530	12,002	4,464	2,511	4,825	12,945	8,641	26,931	7,180	7,511	110,252
5	Training Credit	2,323	4,202	1,521	7,000	7,550	41.5	7.3	2,311	4,025	32.5	13.5	99.8	7,100	4.5	110,232
6	Total Weighted Dispositions	2,325	4,202	1,521	7,665	7,530	12,043	4,471	2,511	4,825	12,977	8,655	27,030	7,180	7,515	110,451
7	Total Weighted Dispositions	2,020	7,202	1,021	7,000	7,000	12,040	7,771	2,011	7,025	12,011	0,000	27,000	7,100	7,515	110,401
8	AOC 6/30/06 No. of Attornevs	8.0	9.0	2.0	14.0	15.0	20.0	9.0	6.0	10.0	23.0	14.0	43.0	15.0	10.0	
9	No. Attorneys in Office	9.0	9.0	2.0	14.0	15.0	20.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	22.0	14.0	42.8	15.0	10.0	
10	Unusual Leave/Vacancies	0.8	-	-	3.2	0.2	0.9	0.4	0.5	0.04	1.5		2.2	1.8	(0.8)	
11		8.2	9.0	2.0	10.8	14.8	19.1	8.6	5.6	9.0	21.6	14.0	40.6	13.2	10.8	187.3
12	Hoke/Scotland Less .5 APD								4.9							
13	Forsyth Less .5833 APD											13.55				
14	•															
15	Wtd Disp. Per attorney	284	467	761	711	508	628	517	446	538	599	617	664	544	695	589
16	Cost per Misd. Unit per Attorney	\$ 316	\$ 203	\$ 181	\$ 150	\$ 191	\$ 151	\$ 216	\$ 268	\$ 218	\$ 190	\$ 172	\$ 160	\$ 201	\$ 155	\$ 180
17	PAC Cost per Misd Unit	\$ 195														
18	Hoke/Scotland Less .5833 APD								511			639				
19	Forsyth Less .425 APD	0.425														
20																
21																
22	FY05 No. Attorneys	7	9	2		15	18	7	5		20	14	40	12.75	10	
										1 APD (never						
22	New Positions added in FY06	1 APD 1 LA						5 L A		1 LA	2 APD		2 APD 1 LA (?)	0.400		0
23 24	New Positions added in F106	I LA						.5 LA		I LA	1 Invest.	.5 LA	1 LA (?)	2 APD	contract	0
25 26														-		
-	Doorsoos in Oss	4 Carrings												-		
27	Decrease in Cos	t Savings														
		FY05 Personnel	Impact on													
28			Cost Savings													
29	FY05 PD Office Personnel Costs		\$ 660,669													
	Computer/Copier Purchases	÷ .0,.02,011	\$ 200,000													
31	District 1 Increase (Less 2% Raise)	\$ 349,303														
32	Total	÷ 5.5,500	\$ 945.143													
<u> </u>			+ 0.0,.10					l		L	l	<u> </u>		I	l	

	A	В	С	D	Ε	F	G H	I	J	K	L M	N	0
1	Value-Added Activities Performed By Public Defender Offices in FY06												
2	Value Added Activity	Buncombe	Carteret	Chatham/Orange	Cumberland	District 1	Durham Forsyth	Gaston	Guilford	Hoke/Scotland	Mecklenburg Pitt	Robeson	Wake
	Administration and management of attorney appointment system for all cases in county(ies) or district, including private appointed counsel		x	х	x	х	x x	x	x	x >	x x	х	x
4	Answering the jail line for non-clients			х			X		Х	>	1	X	X
5	Assist non-client defendants/citizens: Assist pro se defendants at request of the court		х	х	Х	x	x x	х	х	x >	x	х	х
6	Assist non-client defendants/citizens: Explain court procedures		x	x	х	x	x x	x	x	x >	x	х	x
7	Assist non-client defendants/citizens: Give directions, etc.		x	x	x	x	x x	x	х	x >	x	x	х
8	Assist non-client defendants/citizens: Help find an interpreter		x	х		х	x x	х	х	x	x	х	х
9	Assist non-client defendants/citizens: Help non-client defendants with recall orders and other outstanding court issues		x	x			x x		x	>		x	х
10	Assist non-client defendants/citizens: Other[unknown, public defender offices did not describe]								х			x	
11	Conduct or plan CLE trainings for indigent defense attorneys					x	х		x	>	x		x
12	Develop and implement innovative court systems, such as: * Courthouse Space Committees: Lobby to locate the public defender office at a location that best serves the client's interests * Drug Court * Mental Health Court * Petitioning Sheriff's Office to remodel jail visitation area and attorney client room * Project Turnaround Quality Assurance Committee (review the practices and effectiveness of local drug programs)		x	x			x x	x	x	>	x x		x
13	Fix technical errors made by the police, prosecution, or court, such as errors in Judgments or sentences		x		х	х	x x		x	x >	x	х	x
14	Identify and correct court system problems and/or illegalities, such as wrongful arrest or incarceration		x	x		x	x x	x	х			x	х
15	Obtain grants for programs that assist the criminal justice system: * State funding for drug court * Funding for Structured Day Program * Funding for Sentencing Services			x					x	>	x x		

[Path]All Offices value added checklists.xls 14

	A	ВС	D	Ε	F	Э Н	Ι	J k	(L	М	N O
1	Value-Added Activities Performed By Public Defender Offices in FY06										
2	Value Added Activity	Buncombe Carteret	Chatham/Orange	Cumberland	District 1	Forsyth	Gaston	Guilford Hoke/Scotland	Mecklenburg	Pitt	Robeson Wake
-	Participate on criminal justice committes and boards to set up more efficient systems, such as: * AOC Forms Committee * ATLA, Criminal Law Section * Board of Directors of Carolina Correctional Services, Inc. * Chief Justice's Commission on Actual Innocence * Coalition for a Drug-Free Charlotte * Committees that drafted new Family Court and Juvenile Court local Rules * Committees that drafted new Family Court and Juvenile Court local Rules * Criminal Justice Partnership Board * Drug Court Operations Committee * Forsyth County Criminal Defense Trial Lawyers Association * Friends Program for NC State Bar * Justice and Mental Health Collaboration * Juvenile Crime Prevention Council * Leadership Gaston * Local Courthouse Space Committees * Local Courthouse Space Committees * Local County Bar Board * Member of Susies Shar Inn of Court * NC Leaf * Neal and Shephard Middle School Truancy Court Program * Sentencing Services Board * State Drug Treatment Advisory Board * Wake County Academy of Criminal Trial Lawyers * Wake County Asademy of Criminal Trial Lawyers * Wake County Criminal Justice Partnership Planning Board (WCCJPP) * Wake County Domestic Violence Task Force m O	0			2 L		0 1	W	<u>a</u>	N N	
18	*WCCJPP Subcommittee to reduce jail population Provide assistance to private appointed counsel, including: * Advice on points of criminal law, criminal procedure, trial tactics, or case management * Continuing cases for appointed counsel who are out sick * Motion templates * Occasional telephone and faxing services * Criminal forms * Research material * Referrals to expert witnesses * Responding to information requests on IDS listservs, ATLA listserv, etc. * Sample appellate or post-conviction motions * Sending out notifications about changes in court policies and procedures * Sharing the office's legal library * Training on court processes * Updates on law changes Provide assistance to the court, at the court's request, in order to maintain smooth court functioning, such as when non-client defendants need special medical attention or other treatment Provide jail management activities, such as: * Periodic review of jail lists to prevent inmates from remaining in custody unnecessarily due to lack of legal representation * Review correspondence from jail and DOC and taking action when required	x	x	x x x	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x		x :	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	x	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	x x
	Provide orientation training for new attorneys to the appointed counsel lists, including reviewing procedures, forms, available resources, and touring the courthouse	v		Y	J	v	Y	, ,	y	v .	
20	and downwards			1^	^		L., I.	^		ثلت	

[Path]All Offices value added checklists.xls 15

	Α	В	С	D	F	G H		JI	K L	М	N C
1	Value-Added Activities Performed By Public Defender Offices in FY06										
2	Value Added Activity	Buncombe	Carteret	Chatham/Orange	District 1	Durham Forsyth	Gaston	Guilford	Hoke/Scotland Mecklenburg	Pitt	Robeson
21	Provide the community with educational materials about what to do if stopped or arrested by the police					x			x		
222	Public speaking on criminal justice issues: * Church groups * Drug education classed conducted for defendants participating in drug and alcohol deferrals * Kiwanis * Law school classes * Rookie police officers * School mock trial teams * Schools * Teen Court * Wake County Senior Lawyers		*	(x	v		*	Y	Y		× ×
			x /		Α	X X	Α		Χ		× ×
23	Publish articles about indigent defense law and practices			1					х		
24	Represent indigent defense within state or national criminal justice organizations, including: * Criminal Justice Partnership Program (CJPP), Adult Advisory Committee * NAACP * National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers * National Association of Drug Court Professionals * National Drug Court Institute * NCATL * NC Commission on Actual Innocence * NC Leaf * NLADA * North State Legal Services			x x	x	x	×	x			x
25	Respond to direct requests from Judges or Clerks, e.g.: * Obtain deferred prosecution applications for pro se clients or family members * Explain legal issues or court procedures, etc., to pro se clients		x >	x x	×	x x	×	x x	x	x	x x
26	Staff Bond Hearings at First Appearances for both clients and non-clients		x			x x	х			х	x
	Talking to folks in community resources about treatment and/or placement opportunities or requirements		x		x	x x		x x	х		x x

	FY06 D	istrict 1 PI	Office Co	osts Costed C	Out as PAC (Cases			
	No. of Cases			PAC Costs t	o IDS			ministrative Fe	
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative Cost
District Court									
Felony	89	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$20,266.19	\$1.18	\$105.02	\$20,371.21
Felony Probation Violation	7	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$1,366.47	\$1.18	\$8.26	\$1,374.73
DWI	100	\$65	3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$24,071.00	\$1.18	\$118.00	\$24,189.00
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	348	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$67,933.08	\$1.18	\$410.64	\$68,343.72
Misdemeanor Traffic	127	\$65	2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$23,966.17	\$1.18	\$149.86	\$24,116.03
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	54	\$65	2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$9,137.34	\$1.18	\$63.72	\$9,201.06
Child Support Contempt	0	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Juvenile	0		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Other	18		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$3,513.78	\$1.18	\$21.24	\$3,535.02
Commitment	0		0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Withdrawals	52		2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$7,784.92	\$1.18	\$61.36	\$7,846.28
District Court Total	795	φυσ	2.3	ψ0.21	ψ147.71	\$158,038.95	Ψ1.10	\$938.10	\$158,977.05
Superior Court	173					Ψ130,030.93		Ψ)30.10	Ψ130,777.03
•	4	\$85	192.0	\$978.29	\$17.209.20	\$60,102,16	\$1.18	¢4.72	¢60 107 99
Capital (1 attny)	0		192.0			\$69,193.16	\$1.18	\$4.72 \$0.00	\$69,197.88
Capital MARs			12.1	\$12.53	·				
Non-Capital First Degree Murder	0		42.4	\$12.53		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	0		41.7	\$12.53		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony B1	7		19.6	\$12.53		\$9,005.71	\$1.18	\$8.26	\$9,013.97
Felony B2	9		21.8	\$12.53	· ·	\$12,865.77	\$1.18	\$10.62	\$12,876.39
Felony C	17	\$65	14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$16,456.51	\$1.18	\$20.06	\$16,476.57
Felony D	9	,	13.4	\$12.53	-	\$7,951.77	\$1.18	\$10.62	\$7,962.39
Felony E	11	\$65	10.0	\$12.53		\$7,287.83	\$1.18	\$12.98	\$7,300.81
Felony F	17	\$65	10.2	\$12.53	-	\$11,484.01	\$1.18	\$20.06	\$11,504.07
Felony G	32		8.3	\$12.53	-	\$17,664.96	\$1.18	\$37.76	\$17,702.72
Felony H	162	\$65	7.0	\$12.53		\$75,739.86	\$1.18	\$191.16	\$75,931.02
Felony I	107	\$65	6.3	\$12.53	\$422.03	\$45,157.21	\$1.18	\$126.26	\$45,283.47
Felony Probation Violation	98	\$65	3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$21,611.94	\$1.18	\$115.64	\$21,727.58
DWI	13	\$65	7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$6,246.89	\$1.18	\$15.34	\$6,262.23
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	43	\$65	5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$16,749.79	\$1.18	\$50.74	\$16,800.53
Misdemeanor Traffic	14	\$65	4.4	\$12.53	\$298.53	\$4,179.42	\$1.18	\$16.52	\$4,195.94
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	16	\$65	3.3	\$12.53	\$227.03	\$3,632.48	\$1.18	\$18.88	\$3,651.36
Other	11	\$65	5.1	\$12.53	\$344.03	\$3,784.33	\$1.18	\$12.98	\$3,797.31
Withdrawals	41	\$65	7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$20,234.73	\$1.18	\$48.38	\$20,283.11
Superior Court Total	611					\$349,246.37		\$720.98	\$349,967.35
Appeals	0	\$65		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
All Case Types	1,406			, , , , ,		\$507,285.32			\$508,944.40
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)					l	\$735,810			\$ 735,810
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ -			\$ 755,610
PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 735,810			\$ 735,810
PD Cost Savings/Loss						\$ (228,525)			\$ (226,866)
1 D Cont Surings, Dods						(220,020)			(220,000)
Source: AOC Court Service Division was and Bublic Defending December	Canital Dispositions in 1	da nandina an-							
Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report. Capital avg. hrs per fee app calculated by ABA caseload guidelines, 10 ca									
			s per case.		I	I	 		
	1								

	No. of Cases			PAC Costs t	o IDS			Iministrative Fe Processing Cos	
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative
District Court							Pro 22 PP		
Felony	178	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$40,532.38	\$1.18	\$210.04	\$40,742.42
Felony Probation Violation	4	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$780.84	\$1.18	\$4.72	\$785.50
DWI	109		3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$26,237.39	\$1.18	\$128.62	\$26,366.0
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	871	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$170,027.91	\$1.18	\$1,027.78	\$171,055.69
Misdemeanor Traffic	289		2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$54,537.19	\$1.18	\$341.02	\$54,878.2
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	229		2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$38,749.09	\$1.18	\$270.22	\$39,019.3
Child Support Contempt	0		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Juvenile	2		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$390.42	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$392.78
Other	51		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$9,955.71	\$1.18	\$60.18	\$10,015.89
Commitment	0		0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Withdrawals	132		2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$19,761.72	\$1.18	\$155.76	\$19,917.48
District Court Total	1,865	\$0.5	2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$360,972.65	φ1.16	\$2,200.70	\$363,173.35
Superior Court	1,003					\$300,972.03		\$2,200.70	\$505,175.5
•	10	0.5	102.0	0070 2 0	#17.200.20	#211.2c0.22	¢1.10	#21.24	Φ211 200 A
Capital (1 attny)	18		192.0	\$978.29	\$17,298.29	\$311,369.22	\$1.18	\$21.24	\$311,390.40
Capital MARs	0		12.1	\$12.53	\$12.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Capital First Degree Murder	9		42.4	\$12.53	\$3,616.53	\$32,548.77	\$1.18	\$10.62	\$32,559.39
Felony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	0	1	41.7	\$12.53	\$2,723.03	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony B1	9	1	19.6	\$12.53	\$1,286.53	\$11,578.77	\$1.18	\$10.62	\$11,589.39
Felony B2	7		21.8	\$12.53	\$1,429.53	\$10,006.71	\$1.18	\$8.26	\$10,014.97
Felony C	16		14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$15,488.48	\$1.18	\$18.88	\$15,507.36
Felony D	12		13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53	\$10,602.36	\$1.18	\$14.16	\$10,616.52
Felony E	13		10.0	\$12.53	\$662.53	\$8,612.89	\$1.18	\$15.34	\$8,628.23
Felony F	16		10.2	\$12.53	\$675.53	\$10,808.48	\$1.18	\$18.88	\$10,827.36
Felony G	31	\$65	8.3	\$12.53	\$552.03	\$17,112.93	\$1.18	\$36.58	\$17,149.5
Felony H	123		7.0	\$12.53	\$467.53	\$57,506.19	\$1.18	\$145.14	\$57,651.33
Felony I	35		6.3	\$12.53	\$422.03	\$14,771.05	\$1.18	\$41.30	\$14,812.35
Felony Probation Violation	200		3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$44,106.00	\$1.18	\$236.00	\$44,342.00
DWI	5		7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$2,402.65	\$1.18	\$5.90	\$2,408.55
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	20		5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$7,790.60	\$1.18	\$23.60	\$7,814.20
Misdemeanor Traffic	1	\$65	4.4	\$12.53	\$298.53	\$298.53	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$299.7
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	1		3.3	\$12.53	\$227.03	\$227.03	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$228.2
Other	5		5.1	\$12.53	· ·	\$1,720.15	\$1.18	\$5.90	\$1,726.05
Withdrawals	14		7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$6,909.42	\$1.18	\$16.52	\$6,925.94
Superior Court Total	535					\$563,860.23		\$631.30	\$564,491.53
Appeals		,							
Appeals	0	\$65		\$12.53	\$12.53		\$1.18	\$13.71	\$0.00
All Case Types	2,400					\$924,832.88			\$927,664.88
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$854,182			\$ 854,182
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ -			\$ -
PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 854,182			\$ 854,182
PD Cost Savings/Loss						\$ 70,651			\$ 73,483
					1				

	FY06 3B Ca	rteret Cour	nty PD Offi	ce Costs Cos	ted Out as I	PAC Cases			
	No. of Cases			PAC Costs t	o IDS			ministrative Fe	
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative Cost
District Court									
Felony	107	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$24,364.97	\$1.18	\$126.26	\$24,491.23
Felony Probation Violation	1	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$195.21	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$196.39
DWI	49	\$65	3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$11,794.79	\$1.18	\$57.82	\$11,852.61
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	196	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$38,261.16	\$1.18	\$231.28	\$38,492.44
Misdemeanor Traffic	66	\$65	2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$12,454.86	\$1.18	\$77.88	\$12,532.74
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	42	\$65	2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$7,106.82	\$1.18	\$49.56	\$7,156.38
Child Support Contempt	0	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Juvenile	103	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$20,106.63	\$1.18	\$121.54	\$20,228.17
Other	77	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$15,031.17	\$1.18	\$90.86	\$15,122.03
Commitment	0		0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Withdrawals	42		2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$6,287.82	\$1.18	\$49.56	\$6,337.38
District Court Total	683	402	2.0	φσ.21	ψ1.y./1	\$135,603.43	Ψ1.10	\$805.94	\$136,409.37
Superior Court						ψ155,0051.15		Ψ00017.	\$150,103157
Capital (1 attny)	0	\$85	192.0	\$978.29	\$17,298.29	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Capital MARs	0		192.0	\$12.53		· ·	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Capital First Degree Murder	1		12.4		·				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	\$85	42.4	\$12.53		\$3,616.53	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$3,617.71
Felony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	0		41.7	\$12.53		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony B1	0		19.6	\$12.53		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony B2	0	,	21.8	\$12.53	· ·	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony C	8		14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$7,744.24	\$1.18	\$9.44	\$7,753.68
Felony D	4	\$65	13.4	\$12.53	-	\$3,534.12	\$1.18	\$4.72	\$3,538.84
Felony E	2		10.0	\$12.53		\$1,325.06	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$1,327.42
Felony F	9	7.00	10.2	\$12.53	-	\$6,079.77	\$1.18	\$10.62	\$6,090.39
Felony G	20	,	8.3	\$12.53	-	\$11,040.60	\$1.18	\$23.60	\$11,064.20
Felony H	66		7.0	\$12.53		\$30,856.98	\$1.18	\$77.88	\$30,934.86
Felony I	27	\$65	6.3	\$12.53		\$11,394.81	\$1.18	\$31.86	\$11,426.67
Felony Probation Violation	37	\$65	3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$8,159.61	\$1.18	\$43.66	\$8,203.27
DWI	0		7.2	\$12.53		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	6	\$65	5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$2,337.18	\$1.18	\$7.08	\$2,344.26
Misdemeanor Traffic	0	\$65	4.4	\$12.53	\$298.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	1	\$65	3.3	\$12.53	\$227.03	\$227.03	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$228.21
Other	174	\$65	5.1	\$12.53	\$344.03	\$59,861.22	\$1.18	\$205.32	\$60,066.54
Withdrawals	5	\$65	7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$2,467.65	\$1.18	\$5.90	\$2,473.55
Superior Court Total	360					\$148,644.80		\$424.80	\$149,069.60
Appeals	0	\$65		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
All Case Types	1,043					\$284,248.23			\$285,478.97
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$275,964			\$ 275,964
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ -			\$ -
PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 275,964			\$ 275,964
PD Cost Savings/Loss						\$ 8,284			\$ 9,515
						, 0,231			, 0,010
Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report.	Canital Dispositions in als	da nandina casas			1	[
Capital avg. hrs per fee app calculated by ABA caseload guidelines, 10 ca									
- The second sec					I	I	 		

	FY06 1	0 Wake PD	Office Co	sts Costed C	ut as PAC C	ases			
	No. of Cases			PAC Costs t	o IDS			ministrative Fe	
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative Cost
District Court	1				1	1	,		
Felony	1,285	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$292,607.35	\$1.18	\$1,516.30	\$294,123.65
Felony Probation Violation	70	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$13,664.70	\$1.18	\$82.60	\$13,747.30
DWI	160	\$65	3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$38,513.60	\$1.18	\$188.80	\$38,702.40
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	2,045	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$399,204.45	\$1.18	\$2,413.10	\$401,617.55
Misdemeanor Traffic	585	\$65	2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$110,395.35	\$1.18	\$690.30	\$111,085.65
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	94	\$65	2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$15,905.74	\$1.18	\$110.92	\$16,016.66
Child Support Contempt	0	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Juvenile	2	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$390.42	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$392.78
Other	39	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$7,613.19	\$1.18	\$46.02	\$7,659.21
Commitment	0	\$65	0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Withdrawals	277	\$65	2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$41,469.67	\$1.18	\$326.86	\$41,796.53
District Court Total	4,557					\$919,764.47		\$5,377.26	\$925,141.73
Superior Court					1				
Capital (1 attny)	2	\$85	192.0	\$978.29		\$34,596.58	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$34,598.94
Capital MARs	0	\$85		\$12.53	\$12.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Capital First Degree Murder	0	\$85	42.4	\$12.53		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	0	\$65	41.7	\$12.53	\$2,723.03	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony B1	12	\$65	19.6	\$12.53	\$1,286.53	\$15,438.36	\$1.18	\$14.16	\$15,452.52
Felony B2	0	\$65	21.8	\$12.53	\$1,429.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony C	26	\$65	14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$25,168.78	\$1.18	\$30.68	\$25,199.46
Felony D	38	\$65	13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53	\$33,574.14	\$1.18	\$44.84	\$33,618.98
Felony E	13	\$65	10.0	\$12.53	\$662.53	\$8,612.89	\$1.18	\$15.34	\$8,628.23
Felony F	15	\$65	10.2	\$12.53	\$675.53	\$10,132.95	\$1.18	\$17.70	\$10,150.65
Felony G	62	\$65	8.3	\$12.53	\$552.03	\$34,225.86	\$1.18	\$73.16	\$34,299.02
Felony H	65	\$65	7.0	\$12.53	\$467.53	\$30,389.45	\$1.18	\$76.70	\$30,466.15
Felony I	19	\$65	6.3	\$12.53	\$422.03	\$8,018.57	\$1.18	\$22.42	\$8,040.99
Felony Probation Violation	177	\$65	3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$39,033.81	\$1.18	\$208.86	\$39,242.67
DWI	1	\$65	7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$480.53	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$481.71
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	6	\$65	5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$2,337.18	\$1.18	\$7.08	\$2,344.26
Misdemeanor Traffic	4	\$65	4.4	\$12.53	\$298.53	\$1,194.12	\$1.18	\$4.72	\$1,198.84
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	3	\$65	3.3	\$12.53	\$227.03	\$681.09	\$1.18	\$3.54	\$684.63
Other	1	\$65	5.1	\$12.53		\$344.03	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$345.21
Withdrawals Superior Court Total	40	\$65	7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$19,741.20	\$1.18	\$47.20	\$19,788.40
-	484					\$263,969.54		\$571.12	\$264,540.66
Appeals	1 -1	2.1			1				
Appeals Tatal	5.041	\$65		\$12.53	\$12.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$13.71	\$0.00
Total	5,041	2.1			T	\$ 2,103,498	4	411	\$ 2,114,824
	10	\$65		0		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$11.80	
All Case Types	5,051				1	\$1,183,734.01	1		\$1,189,694.19
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)	1					\$1,149,134			\$ 1,149,134
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ 691			\$ 691
PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 1,148,443			\$ 1,148,443
PD Cost Savings/Loss						\$ 35,291			\$ 925,130
 -									
Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report.									
Capital avg. hrs per fee app calculated by ABA caseload guidelines, 10 ca	ises per year maximum wh	ucn equals 192 h	ours per case.	1	T.	ı	,		
		ļ							

District Court Selony Selony Probation Violation DWI Disdemeanor Non-Traffic Disdemeanor Traffic Disdemeanor Probation Violation Child Support Contempt United Support Court	547 50 169 1,458 542 216 0 0 265 0 196 3,443	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	Avg Hrs per Fee App 3.5 3.0 3.7 3.0 2.9 2.6 3.0 3.0 3.0	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$227.71 \$195.21 \$240.71 \$195.21 \$188.71 \$169.21 \$195.21	\$124,557.37 \$9,760.50 \$40,679.99 \$284,616.18 \$102,280.82 \$36,549.36 \$0.00	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18	Total Payment Processing Costs \$645.46 \$59.00 \$199.42 \$1,720.44 \$639.56	\$125,202.85 \$9,819.50 \$40,879.41 \$286,336.62
felony felony Probation Violation OWI fisidemeanor Non-Traffic fisidemeanor Traffic fisidemeanor Probation Violation Child Support Contempt uvenile Other Commitment Vithdrawals istrict Court Total	50 169 1,458 542 216 0 0 265 0	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	3.5 3.0 3.7 3.0 2.9 2.6 3.0 3.0	\$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$195.21 \$240.71 \$195.21 \$188.71 \$169.21 \$195.21	\$9,760.50 \$40,679.99 \$284,616.18 \$102,280.82 \$36,549.36	\$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18	\$59.00 \$199.42 \$1,720.44 \$639.56	\$9,819.50 \$40,879.41 \$286,336.62
elony Probation Violation DWI disdemeanor Non-Traffic disdemeanor Traffic disdemeanor Probation Violation Child Support Contempt uvenile Other Commitment Vithdrawals Jistrict Court Total	50 169 1,458 542 216 0 0 265 0	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	3.0 3.7 3.0 2.9 2.6 3.0 3.0	\$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$195.21 \$240.71 \$195.21 \$188.71 \$169.21 \$195.21	\$9,760.50 \$40,679.99 \$284,616.18 \$102,280.82 \$36,549.36	\$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18	\$59.00 \$199.42 \$1,720.44 \$639.56	\$125,202.83 \$9,819.50 \$40,879.41 \$286,336.62 \$102,920.38
OWI Alisdemeanor Non-Traffic Alisdemeanor Traffic Alisdemeanor Probation Violation Child Support Contempt uvenile Other Commitment Vithdrawals District Court Total	50 169 1,458 542 216 0 0 265 0	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	3.0 3.7 3.0 2.9 2.6 3.0 3.0	\$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$195.21 \$240.71 \$195.21 \$188.71 \$169.21 \$195.21	\$9,760.50 \$40,679.99 \$284,616.18 \$102,280.82 \$36,549.36	\$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18	\$59.00 \$199.42 \$1,720.44 \$639.56	\$9,819.50 \$40,879.41 \$286,336.62
Alisdemeanor Non-Traffic Alisdemeanor Traffic Alisdemeanor Probation Violation Child Support Contempt uvenile Other Commitment Vithdrawals District Court Total	169 1,458 542 216 0 0 265 0	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	3.7 3.0 2.9 2.6 3.0 3.0 3.0	\$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$240.71 \$195.21 \$188.71 \$169.21 \$195.21	\$40,679.99 \$284,616.18 \$102,280.82 \$36,549.36	\$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18	\$199.42 \$1,720.44 \$639.56	\$40,879.41 \$286,336.62
Alisdemeanor Traffic Alisdemeanor Probation Violation Child Support Contempt uvenile Other Commitment Vithdrawals District Court Total Superior Court	1,458 542 216 0 0 265 0 196	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	3.0 2.9 2.6 3.0 3.0 3.0	\$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$195.21 \$188.71 \$169.21 \$195.21	\$284,616.18 \$102,280.82 \$36,549.36	\$1.18 \$1.18	\$1,720.44 \$639.56	\$286,336.62
Aisdemeanor Probation Violation Child Support Contempt uvenile Uther Commitment Vithdrawals District Court Total Superior Court	542 216 0 0 265 0 196	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	2.9 2.6 3.0 3.0 3.0	\$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$188.71 \$169.21 \$195.21	\$102,280.82 \$36,549.36	\$1.18	\$639.56	
Aisdemeanor Probation Violation Child Support Contempt uvenile Uther Commitment Vithdrawals District Court Total Superior Court	216 0 0 265 0 196	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	2.6 3.0 3.0 3.0	\$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$169.21 \$195.21	\$36,549.36			
Child Support Contempt uvenile Other Other Commitment Vithdrawals District Court Total Superior Court	0 0 265 0 196	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	3.0 3.0 3.0	\$0.21 \$0.21	\$195.21		Ψ1.10	\$254.88	\$36,804.24
uvenile Other Commitment Vithdrawals District Court Total Superior Court	0 265 0 196	\$65 \$65 \$65	3.0 3.0	\$0.21		Ψ0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Other Commitment Vithdrawals District Court Total Superior Court	265 0 196	\$65 \$65	3.0		\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Commitment Vithdrawals District Court Total Superior Court	0 196	\$65			\$195.21	\$51,730.65	\$1.18	\$312.70	\$52,043.35
Vithdrawals District Court Total Superior Court	196		0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$31,730.03	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$32,043.33
District Court Total Superior Court		\$65	2.3				\$1.18	\$231.28	
Superior Court	3,443		2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$29,343.16	\$1.18		\$29,574.44
						\$679,518.03		\$4,062.74	\$683,580.77
								1	
Capital (1 attny)	26	\$85	192.0	\$978.29	\$17,298.29	\$449,755.54	\$1.18	\$30.68	\$449,786.22
Capital MARs	0	\$85		\$12.53	\$12.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Ion-Capital First Degree Murder	0	\$85	42.4	\$12.53	\$3,616.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
elony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	0	\$65	41.7	\$12.53	\$2,723.03	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
elony B1	22	\$65	19.6	\$12.53	\$1,286.53	\$28,303.66	\$1.18	\$25.96	\$28,329.62
elony B2	7	\$65	21.8	\$12.53	\$1,429.53	\$10,006.71	\$1.18	\$8.26	\$10,014.97
elony C	49	\$65	14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$47,433.47	\$1.18	\$57.82	\$47,491.29
elony D	40	\$65	13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53	\$35,341.20	\$1.18	\$47.20	\$35,388.40
elony E	19	\$65	10.0	\$12.53	\$662.53	\$12,588.07	\$1.18	\$22.42	\$12,610.49
elony F	30	\$65	10.2	\$12.53	\$675.53	\$20,265.90	\$1.18	\$35.40	\$20,301.30
elony G	44	\$65	8.3	\$12.53	\$552.03	\$24,289.32	\$1.18	\$51.92	\$24,341.24
elony H	350	\$65	7.0	\$12.53	\$467.53	\$163,635.50	\$1.18	\$413.00	\$164,048.50
elony I	69	\$65	6.3	\$12.53	\$422.03	\$29,120.07	\$1.18	\$81.42	\$29,201.49
elony Probation Violation	329	\$65	3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$72,554.37	\$1.18	\$388.22	\$72,942.59
DWI .	5	\$65	7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$2,402.65	\$1.18	\$5.90	\$2,408.55
Nisdemeanor Non-Traffic	23	\$65	5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$8,959.19	\$1.18	\$27.14	\$8,986.33
Nisdemeanor Traffic	1	\$65	4.4	\$12.53	\$298.53	\$298.53	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$299.71
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	2	\$65	3.3	\$12.53	\$227.03	\$454.06	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$456.42
Other	17	\$65	5.1	\$12.53	\$344.03	\$5,848.51	\$1.18	\$20.06	\$5,868.57
Vithdrawals	98	\$65	7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$48,365.94	\$1.18	\$115.64	\$48,481.58
Superior Court Total	1,131	Ψ35	,	412. 33	+ 1,70,00	\$959.622.69	Ψ1.10	\$1,334.58	\$960,957.27
ppeals	0	\$65	76.8	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Il Case Types	4,574	φ0.5	70.0	φυ.υυ		\$1,639,140.72	ψ1.16	φ0.00	\$1,644,538.04
	7,574	1							
2D Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)									\$ 1,437,049
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ 1,505			\$ 1,505
PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 1,435,544			\$ 1,435,544
PD Cost Savings/Loss		-				\$ 203,597		,	\$ 208,994
ource: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report. Cap. Capital avg. hrs per fee app calculated by ABA caseload guidelines, 10 cases j									

Debated Court		No. of Cases			PAC Costs t	o IDS			Iministrative Fe Processing Cos	
Fallony		FY06 Dispositions	-	per	Costs per	App Cost to		Processing Cost	Processing	Total Administrative
Felony Probation Volation 7 565 3.0 50.21 \$195.21 \$1,366.47 \$1,18 \$8.26 \$1.10 0.00	District Court					•	•			
Dom	Felony	1,388	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$316,061.48	\$1.18	\$1,637.84	\$317,699.32
Meditemenion Non-Traffic 9.45 565 2.9 \$0.21 \$187.21 \$579.068.91 \$1.18 \$3.505.78 \$583.85 Meditemenion Probation Violation 4.16 \$65 2.9 \$0.21 \$1.69.21 \$70.391.36 \$1.18 \$1.175.72 \$183.85 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.175.72 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$	Felony Probation Violation	7	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$1,366.47	\$1.18	\$8.26	\$1,374.73
Meditemenion Non-Traffic 9.45 565 2.9 \$0.21 \$187.21 \$579.068.91 \$1.18 \$3.505.78 \$583.85 Meditemenion Probation Violation 4.16 \$65 2.9 \$0.21 \$1.69.21 \$70.391.36 \$1.18 \$1.175.72 \$183.85 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.175.72 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.000 \$1.18 \$1.000 \$	DWI	320	\$65	3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$77,027.20	\$1.18	\$377.60	\$77,404.80
Mademenaro Traffic	Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	2,971					· ·		\$3,505.78	\$583,474.69
Madement Probation Volation	Misdemeanor Traffic	,								\$183,053.96
Chief Surgion Contempt	Misdemeanor Probation Violation						·			\$70,882.24
Juversile										\$0.00
Section Sect										\$82,091.02
Commitment										\$168,502.62
Withdrawals							· ·			\$78,156.45
Dishiet Court Total 9,074 \$1,616,362,54 \$10,707,32 \$1,627,										\$64,430.03
Superior Court Capital (1 attiny)			402	2.0	ψ0. 2 1	\$1.5.71		Ψ1.110		\$1,627,069.86
Capital (fathry) 9 \$85 192.0 \$978.29 \$17,298.29 \$15,5,684.61 \$1.18 \$10.02 \$155,	Superior Court	7,071					ψ1,010,302.31		Ψ10,707.32	Ψ1,027,009.00
Capital MARe	•	0	¢95	102.0	\$078.20	\$17.208.20	\$155.684.61	¢1 19	\$10.62	\$155,695.23
Non-Capital First Degree Murder				192.0			· ·		<u> </u>	\$0.00
Felory B1	<u>'</u>			12.4		-				\$0.00
Felory B1						1 - 7				\$10,896.84
Felony B2	* * *						·			\$12,877.10
Felony C 20 \$65 14.7 \$12.53 \$968.03 \$19,360.60 \$1.18 \$23.60 \$19,										\$1,430.71
Felony D 22 \$65 13.4 \$12.53 \$883.53 \$19,437.66 \$1.18 \$25.96 \$19, Felony E 21 \$65 10.0 \$12.53 \$662.53 \$13,913.13 \$1.18 \$24.78 \$13, \$12.60 \$10.2 \$12.53 \$662.53 \$13,913.13 \$1.18 \$24.78 \$13, \$12.60 \$10.2 \$12.53 \$662.53 \$13,913.13 \$1.18 \$23.60 \$13, \$12.60 \$13.50 \$13, \$10.60 \$1.18 \$23.60 \$13, \$12.60 \$13, \$13.60 \$1.18 \$23.60 \$13, \$12.60 \$13, \$13.60 \$1.18 \$23.60 \$13, \$12.60 \$13, \$13.60 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.19 \$1.18 \$1.19 \$		_				1 ,	1 /			\$19,384.20
Felony E										\$19,364.20
Felony F 20 \$65 10.2 \$12.53 \$675.53 \$13,510.60 \$1.18 \$23.60 \$13, Felony G \$65 \$63 \$12.53 \$552.03 \$33,673.83 \$1.18 \$71.98 \$33, Felony H \$25 \$65 \$6.3 \$12.53 \$467.53 \$464.51.61 \$1.118 \$161.66 \$64, Felony I \$25 \$65 \$6.3 \$12.53 \$422.03 \$10,550.75 \$1.18 \$29,50 \$10, Felony Probation Violation \$107 \$65 \$3.2 \$12.53 \$422.03 \$10,550.75 \$1.18 \$29,50 \$10, Felony Probation Violation \$107 \$65 \$3.2 \$12.53 \$422.03 \$10,550.75 \$1.18 \$126.26 \$23, DW \$5 \$65 7.2 \$12.53 \$480.53 \$24,02.65 \$1.18 \$126.26 \$23, DW \$5 \$65 7.2 \$12.53 \$480.53 \$24,02.65 \$1.18 \$65,00 \$2. \$10,000 \$20,0	<u> </u>									\$19,463.62
Felony G										
Felony H										\$13,534.20
Felony 25 \$65 6.3 \$12.53 \$422.03 \$10,550.75 \$1.18 \$29.50 \$10,550.75 \$1.18 \$29.50 \$10,550.75 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$126.26 \$23,50 \$10,550.75 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$126.26 \$23,50 \$10,550.75 \$1.18	<u> </u>									\$33,745.81
Felony Probation Violation 107						·				\$64,213.27
DWI	*								<u> </u>	\$10,580.25
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic 54 \$65 5.8 \$12.53 \$389.53 \$21,034.62 \$1.18 \$63.72 \$21, Misdemeanor Traffic Misdemeanor Profition Violation 12 \$65 4.4 \$12.53 \$298.53 \$2,089.71 \$1.18 \$8.26 \$2, Misdemeanor Profition Violation \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$2.704.3 \$2.724.36 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18 \$2.056.90 \$33,006.51 \$1.18 \$2.000 \$2.000 \$3.000 \$3.000 \$3.000 \$3.000 \$3.000 \$3.000 \$3.000 \$3.000 \$3.000 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$23,722.97</td>										\$23,722.97
Misdemeanor Traffic 7 \$65 4.4 \$12.53 \$298.53 \$2,089.71 \$1.18 \$8.26 \$2,089.71 Misdemeanor Probation Violation 12 \$65 3.3 \$12.53 \$227.03 \$2,724.36 \$1.18 \$14.16 \$2,089.71 Other 1 \$65 5.1 \$12.53 \$344.03 \$344.03 \$1.18 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$2,408.55</td>										\$2,408.55
Misdemeanor Probation Violation 12 \$65 3.3 \$12.53 \$227.03 \$2,724.36 \$1.18 \$14.16 \$2,0ther Other 1 \$65 5.1 \$12.53 \$344.03 \$344.03 \$1.18 \$1.25 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>· ·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$21,098.34</td></td<>						· ·				\$21,098.34
Other 1 \$65 5.1 \$12.53 \$344.03 \$344.03 \$1.18 \$1.253 \$493.53 \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$440,628.33 \$687.94 \$441, \$441, \$441, \$440,628.33 \$687.94 \$441, \$441, \$440,628.33 \$687.94 \$441, \$441, \$440,628.33 \$687.94 \$441, \$440,628.33 \$687.94 \$441, \$441, \$440,628.33 \$687.94 \$441, \$440,628.33 \$440,628.33 \$440,628.33 \$440,628.33 \$440,628.33 \$440,628.33 \$440,628.33 \$440,628.33 \$440,628.33 \$440,628.33 \$440,628.33 \$420,628.33 \$420,628.33 \$420,628.33 \$420,628.33 \$420,628.33<							·			\$2,097.97
Withdrawals 67 \$65 7.4 \$12.53 \$493.53 \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$79.06 \$33, \$33,066.51 \$1.18 \$50.00 \$441, \$4										\$2,738.52
Superior Court Total 583 \$440,628.33 \$687.94 \$441, Appeals 0 \$65 \$0.00 \$1.18 \$0.00 All Case Types 9,657 \$2,056,990.87 \$2,068,9 PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated) \$1,819,326 \$1,81 Court Reporter Expenditures \$3,008 \$ PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures) \$1,816,318 \$ PD Cost Savings/Loss \$240,673 #REF!										\$345.21
Appeals 0 \$65 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$1.18 \$0.00 All Case Types 9,657 \$2,056,990.87 \$2,068,3 PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated) \$1,819,326 \$1,81 Court Reporter Expenditures \$3,008 \$ PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures) \$1,816,318 PD Cost Savings/Loss \$240,673 #REF!			\$65	7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53		\$1.18		\$33,145.57
All Case Types 9,657 \$2,056,990.87 \$2,068,90 PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated) \$ 1,819,326 \$ 1,81 Court Reporter Expenditures \$ \$ 3,008 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	<u>'</u>									\$441,316.27
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated) \$ 1,819,326 \$ 1,81					\$0.00				\$0.00	\$0.00
Court Reporter Expenditures \$ 3,008 \$	All Case Types	9,657					\$2,056,990.87			\$2,068,386.13
PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures) \$ 1,816,318 PD Cost Savings/Loss \$ 240,673 #REF!	PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$ 1,819,326			\$ 1,819,326
PD Cost Savings/Loss \$ 240,673 #REF!	Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ 3,008			\$ 3,008
	PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 1,816,318			
Same ACC Court Samire Division was and Dublic Defender Demont Control Dismoitings include and line access	PD Cost Savings/Loss						\$ 240,673			#REF!
Source ACC Court Source Distriction was and Bubble Defender Bornet Courted Dispositions include any line access										
Source AOC Court Source Division was and Dublic Defender Deposit Conited Dispositions include any line court										
Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-ena Fubilic Dejenaer Report. Capital Dispositions include penaing cases.	Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report.	Capital Dispositions incli	ude pending cases	s.		•	*	. ,		

	No. of Cases			PAC Costs t	o IDS			Iministrative Fe Processing Cos	
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative
District Court				•	!				
Felony	459	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$104,518.89	\$1.18	\$541.62	\$105,060.51
Felony Probation Violation	20		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$3,904.20	\$1.18	\$23.60	\$3,927.80
DWI	128	\$65	3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$30,810.88	\$1.18	\$151.04	\$30,961.92
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	821	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$160,267.41	\$1.18	\$968.78	\$161,236.19
Misdemeanor Traffic	393	\$65	2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$74,163.03	\$1.18	\$463.74	\$74,626.77
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	183	\$65	2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$30,965.43	\$1.18	\$215.94	\$31,181.37
Child Support Contempt	230		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$44,898.30	\$1.18	\$271.40	\$45,169.70
Juvenile	76		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$14,835.96	\$1.18	\$89.68	\$14,925.64
Other	328		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$64,028.88	\$1.18	\$387.04	\$64,415.92
Commitment	0		0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	·	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Withdrawals	67	\$65	2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71		\$1.18	\$79.06	\$10,109.63
District Court Total	2,705	ψ05	2.3	ψ0.21	Ψ117.71	\$538,423.55	ψ1.10	\$3,191.90	\$541,615.45
Superior Court	2,703					ψ330,123.33		ψ3,171.70	ψ5 11,015.12
Capital (1 attny)	7	\$85	192.0	\$978.29	\$17,298.29	\$121,088.03	\$1.18	\$8.26	\$121,096.29
Capital MARs	0		192.0	\$12.53	\$17,298.29		\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Capital First Degree Murder	0		42.4	\$12.53	\$3,616.53	·	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	2	,	41.7	\$12.53	\$2,723.03	\$5,446.06	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$5,448.42
Felony B1	6		19.6	\$12.53	\$1,286.53	\$7,719.18	\$1.18	\$7.08	\$7,726.26
Felony B2	2		21.8	\$12.53	\$1,280.33	\$2,859.06	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$2,861.42
Felony C	18		14.7	\$12.53		\$17,424.54	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$17,445.78
Felony D	11	\$65	13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53	\$9,718.83	\$1.18	\$12.98	\$9,731.81
Felony E	3		10.0	\$12.53	\$662.53	\$1,987.59	\$1.18	\$3.54	\$9,731.81
Felony F	27	\$65	10.0	\$12.53	\$675.53	\$1,987.39	\$1.18	\$31.86	\$1,991.13
Felony G	34	\$65	8.3	\$12.53	\$552.03	\$18,769.02	\$1.18	\$40.12	\$18,809.14
Felony H	95		7.0	\$12.53	\$467.53	\$44,415.35	\$1.18	\$112.10	\$44,527.45
Felony I	26	· ·	6.3	\$12.53	\$407.33	\$10,972.78	\$1.18	\$30.68	\$11,003.46
Felony Probation Violation	88		3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$10,972.78	\$1.18	\$103.84	\$11,003.46
DWI	0		7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$19,406.64	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$19,310.46
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic		,	5.8			·			
Misdemeanor Traffic	1	\$65		\$12.53	\$389.53	\$389.53	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$390.71
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	0	,	4.4	\$12.53	\$298.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Other	0	, , , ,	3.3 5.1	\$12.53 \$12.53	\$227.03 \$344.03		\$1.18 \$1.18	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00
					· ·				\$0.00
Withdrawals Superior Court Total	1	\$65	7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$493.53 \$278,929.45	\$1.18	\$1.18 \$378.78	\$494.71
<u>'</u>	321	T			T		**	•	\$279,308.23
Appeals All Case Types	3 026		76.8	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
,,	3,026					\$817,353.00			\$820,923.68
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$ 966,417			\$ 966,417
Court Reporter Expenditures	1					\$ 1,193			\$ 1,193
PD Cost Swings/Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 965,224			\$ 965,224
PD Cost Savings/Loss		· ·			T T	\$ (147,871)			\$ (144,300)
Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report.	1	·			I .	II	II		ı

	No. of Cases			PAC Costs t	o IDS			ministrative Fe Processing Cos	ee Application sts
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative
District Court									
Felony	91	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$20,722	\$1.18	\$107.38	\$20,828.99
Felony Probation Violation	2	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$390	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$392.78
DWI	64	\$65	3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$15,405	\$1.18	\$75.52	\$15,480.96
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	394	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$76,913	\$1.18	\$464.92	\$77,377.66
Misdemeanor Traffic	147	\$65	2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$27,740	\$1.18	\$173.46	\$27,913.83
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	76	\$65	2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$12,860	\$1.18	\$89.68	\$12,949.64
Child Support Contempt	0	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Juvenile	225	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$43,922	\$1.18	\$265.50	\$44,187.75
Other	145	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$28,305	\$1.18	\$171.10	\$28,476.55
Commitment	0	\$65	0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$0	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Withdrawals	218	\$65	2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$32,637	\$1.18	\$257.24	\$32,894.02
District Court Total	1,362					\$258,895		\$1,607.16	\$260,502.18
Superior Court	·					· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Capital (1 attny)	0	\$85	192.0	\$978.29	\$17,298.29	\$0	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Capital MARs	0			\$12.53	\$12.53	\$0	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Capital First Degree Murder	0		42.4	\$12.53	\$3,616.53	\$0	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	0		41.7	\$12.53	\$2,723.03	\$0	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony B1	5		19.6	\$12.53	· ·	\$6,433	\$1.18	\$5.90	\$6,438.55
Felony B2	0		21.8	\$12.53	\$1,429.53	\$0	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony C	8		14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$7,744	\$1.18	\$9.44	\$7,753.68
Felony D	12		13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53	\$10,602	\$1.18	\$14.16	\$10,616.52
Felony E	5		10.0	\$12.53	\$662.53	\$3,313	\$1.18	\$5.90	\$3,318.55
Felony F	15		10.2	\$12.53	· ·	\$10,133	\$1.18	\$17.70	\$10,150.65
Felony G	24		8.3	\$12.53	\$552.03	\$13,249	\$1.18	\$28.32	\$13,277.04
Felony H	168		7.0	\$12.53	\$467.53	\$78,545	\$1.18	\$198.24	\$78,743.28
Felony I	67	\$65	6.3	\$12.53	\$422.03	\$28,276	\$1.18	\$79.06	\$28,355.07
Felony Probation Violation	135		3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$29,772	\$1.18	\$159.30	\$29,930.85
DWI	3		7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$1,442	\$1.18	\$3.54	\$1,445.13
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	12		5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$4,674	\$1.18	\$14.16	\$4,688.52
Misdemeanor Traffic	12		4.4	\$12.53 \$12.53	\$298.53	\$299	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$299.71
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	0		3.3	\$12.53	\$227.03	\$0	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Other	0		5.1	\$12.53		\$0	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Withdrawals	29		7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$14,312	\$1.18	\$34.22	\$14,346.59
Superior Court Total	484	φυσ	7.4	φ12.33	ψ+73.33	\$208,793	φ1.10	\$54.22 \$571.12	\$209,364.14
	0	\$65		\$0.00		\$208,793	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Appeals All Case Types	1,846			\$0.00		\$467,688		\$0.00	\$469,866.32
	1,840								
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$ 672,139			\$ 672,139
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ 135			\$ 135
PD Cost Swings/Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 672,004			\$ 672,004
PD Cost Savings/Loss		,				\$ (204,316)			\$ (202,138)
					I				
		ida nandina aarar							
Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report. (Capital avg. hrs per fee app calculated by ABA caseload guidelines, 10 ca.									

	FY06 16B Ro	beson Cou	inty PD Of	rice Costs Co	osted Out as	FAC Cases			
	No. of Cases			PAC Costs t	o IDS			Iministrative Fe Processing Cos	
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative Cost
District Court	1								
Felony	238		3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$54,194.98	\$1.18	\$280.84	\$54,475.82
Felony Probation Violation	5		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$976.05	\$1.18	\$5.90	\$981.95
DWI	200		3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$48,142.00	\$1.18	\$236.00	\$48,378.00
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	975		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$190,329.75	\$1.18	\$1,150.50	\$191,480.25
Misdemeanor Traffic	456		2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$86,051.76	\$1.18	\$538.08	\$86,589.84
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	94		2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$15,905.74	\$1.18	\$110.92	\$16,016.66
Child Support Contempt	0	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Juvenile	383	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$74,765.43	\$1.18	\$451.94	\$75,217.37
Other	61	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$11,907.81	\$1.18	\$71.98	\$11,979.79
Commitment	319	\$65	0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$18,728.49	\$1.18	\$376.42	\$19,104.91
Withdrawals	72	\$65	2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$10,779.12	\$1.18	\$84.96	\$10,864.08
District Court Total	2,803					\$511,781.13		\$3,307.54	\$515,088.67
Superior Court									
Capital (1 attny)	18	\$85	192.0	\$978.29	\$17,298.29	\$311,369.22	\$1.18	\$21.24	\$311,390.46
Capital MARs	0			\$12.53	\$12.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Capital First Degree Murder	9		42.4	\$12.53	\$3,616.53	\$32,548.77	\$1.18	\$10.62	\$32,559.39
Felony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	1	\$65	41.7	\$12.53	\$2,723.03	\$2,723.03	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$2,724.21
Felony B1	1		19.6	\$12.53		\$1,286.53	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$1,287.71
Felony B2	1		21.8	\$12.53	\$1,429.53	\$1,429.53	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$1,430.71
Felony C	23		14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$22,264.69	\$1.18	\$27.14	\$22,291.83
Felony D	10		13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53	\$8,835.30	\$1.18	\$11.80	\$8,847.10
Felony E	3		10.0	\$12.53	\$662.53	\$1,987.59	\$1.18	\$3.54	\$1,991.13
Felony F	3		10.0	\$12.53	\$675.53	\$2,026.59	\$1.18	\$3.54	\$2,030.13
Felony G	11		8.3	\$12.53		\$6,072.33	\$1.18	\$12.98	\$6,085.31
Felony H	107	\$65	7.0	\$12.53	\$467.53	\$50,025.71	\$1.18	\$126.26	\$50,151.97
Felony I	54		6.3	\$12.53		\$22,789.62	\$1.18	\$63.72	\$22,853.34
Felony Probation Violation	94		3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$20,729.82	\$1.18	\$110.92	\$20,840.74
DWI	0		7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$20,729.82	\$1.18	\$0.00	
									\$0.00
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic Misdemeanor Traffic	4 0	\$65 \$65	5.8 4.4	\$12.53 \$12.53	\$389.53 \$298.53	\$1,558.12 \$0.00	\$1.18 \$1.18	\$4.72 \$0.00	\$1,562.84 \$0.00
	0			\$12.53 \$12.53		·	\$1.18 \$1.18		
Misdemeanor Probation Violation Other	1	4.00	3.3		\$227.03	\$0.00	· ·	\$0.00	\$0.00
	-	\$65	5.1	\$12.53		\$344.03	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$345.21
Withdrawals Superior Court Total	16		7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$7,896.48	\$1.18	\$18.88	\$7,915.36
·	356		-		T	\$493,887.36	±. 1	\$420.08	\$494,307.44
Appeals All Case Types	3,159			\$0.00		\$0.00 \$1,005,668.49	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$1,009,396.11
	3,139								
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$ 1,053,967			\$ 1,053,967
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ 254			\$ 254
PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 1,053,713			\$ 1,053,713
PD Cost Savings/Loss		1				\$ (48,045)			\$ (44,317)
Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report.									
Capital avg. hrs per fee app calculated by ABA caseload guidelines, 10 cas	ses per year maximum whi	cn equats 192 ho	ırs per case.		1	1			

	No. of Cases			PAC Costs t	PAC and Administrative Fee Application Processing Costs				
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative
District Court	•								
Felony	821	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$186,949.91	\$1.18	\$968.78	\$187,918.69
Felony Probation Violation	24	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$4,685.04	\$1.18	\$28.32	\$4,713.36
DWI	198	\$65	3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$47,660.58	\$1.18	\$233.64	\$47,894.22
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	1,830	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$357,234.30	\$1.18	\$2,159.40	\$359,393.70
Misdemeanor Traffic	444	\$65	2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$83,787.24	\$1.18	\$523.92	\$84,311.16
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	304	\$65	2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$51,439.84	\$1.18	\$358.72	\$51,798.56
Child Support Contempt	0	-	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Juvenile	882	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$172,175.22	\$1.18	\$1,040.76	\$173,215.98
Other	696	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$135,866.16	\$1.18	\$821.28	\$136,687.44
Commitment	471	\$65	0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$27,652.41	\$1.18	\$555.78	\$28,208.19
Withdrawals	309	\$65	2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$46,260.39	\$1.18	\$364.62	\$46,625.01
District Court Total	5,979	\$03	2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$1,113,711.09	\$1.10	\$7,055.22	\$1,120,766.31
Superior Court	3,919					\$1,113,711.09		\$1,033.22	\$1,120,700.51
•	1 20	605	102.0	¢079.20	¢17.200.20	¢440.755.54	¢1 10	¢20.69	¢440.797.22
Capital (1 attny)	26	-	192.0	\$978.29	· ·	\$449,755.54	\$1.18	\$30.68	\$449,786.22
Capital MARs	0		12.1	\$12.53	\$12.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Capital First Degree Murder	0		42.4	\$12.53	\$3,616.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	3		41.7	\$12.53	\$2,723.03	\$8,169.09	\$1.18	\$3.54	\$8,172.63
Felony B1	29		19.6	\$12.53	\$1,286.53	\$37,309.37	\$1.18	\$34.22	\$37,343.59
Felony B2	12		21.8	\$12.53		\$17,154.36	\$1.18	\$14.16	\$17,168.52
Felony C	136		14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$131,652.08	\$1.18	\$160.48	\$131,812.56
Felony D	90		13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53	\$79,517.70	\$1.18	\$106.20	\$79,623.90
Felony E	55		10.0	\$12.53	\$662.53	\$36,439.15	\$1.18	\$64.90	\$36,504.05
Felony F	59	\$65	10.2	\$12.53	\$675.53	\$39,856.27	\$1.18	\$69.62	\$39,925.89
Felony G	161	\$65	8.3	\$12.53	\$552.03	\$88,876.83	\$1.18	\$189.98	\$89,066.81
Felony H	578	\$65	7.0	\$12.53		\$270,232.34	\$1.18	\$682.04	\$270,914.38
Felony I	275	\$65	6.3	\$12.53	\$422.03	\$116,058.25	\$1.18	\$324.50	\$116,382.75
Felony Probation Violation	614	\$65	3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$135,405.42	\$1.18	\$724.52	\$136,129.94
DWI	6	\$65	7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$2,883.18	\$1.18	\$7.08	\$2,890.26
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	7	\$65	5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$2,726.71	\$1.18	\$8.26	\$2,734.97
Misdemeanor Traffic	1	\$65	4.4	\$12.53	\$298.53	\$298.53	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$299.71
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	10	\$65	3.3	\$12.53	\$227.03	\$2,270.30	\$1.18	\$11.80	\$2,282.10
Other	4	\$65	5.1	\$12.53	\$344.03	\$1,376.12	\$1.18	\$4.72	\$1,380.84
Withdrawals	263	\$65	7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$129,798.39	\$1.18	\$310.34	\$130,108.73
Superior Court Total	2,329					\$1,549,779.63		\$2,748.22	\$1,552,527.85
Appeals	0	\$65		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
All Case Types	8,308					\$2,663,490.72			\$2,673,294.16
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$ 2,464,766			\$ 2,464,766
Court Reporter Expenditures					1	\$ 453			\$ 453
PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)					1	\$ 2,464,313			\$ 2,464,313
PD Cost Savings/Loss						\$ 199,178			\$ 208,981
2 D Cook Suringer Door						100,170			200,001
					1				
Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report. Co.									

vistrict Court elony elony Probation Violation WI lisdemeanor Non-Traffic lisdemeanor Traffic lisdemeanor Probation Violation thild Support Contempt uvenile tither	907 155 309 2,414 649 506 0 7 243 0	\$65 \$65	Avg Hrs per Fee App 3.5 3.0 3.7 3.0 2.9 2.6 3.0	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS \$227.71 \$195.21 \$240.71 \$195.21	\$206,532.97 \$30,257.55 \$74,379.39	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App \$1.18 \$1.18 \$1.18	Total Payment Processing Costs \$1,070.26 \$182.90 \$364.62	\$30,440.4
elony elony Probation Violation WI flisdemeanor Non-Traffic flisdemeanor Traffic flisdemeanor Probation Violation thild Support Contempt uvenile	155 309 2,414 649 506 0 7 243	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	3.0 3.7 3.0 2.9 2.6	\$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$195.21 \$240.71	\$30,257.55 \$74,379.39	\$1.18 \$1.18	\$182.90	\$30,440.45
elony Probation Violation WI flisdemeanor Non-Traffic flisdemeanor Traffic flisdemeanor Probation Violation thild Support Contempt uvenile ther	155 309 2,414 649 506 0 7 243	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	3.0 3.7 3.0 2.9 2.6	\$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$195.21 \$240.71	\$30,257.55 \$74,379.39	\$1.18 \$1.18	\$182.90	
owl I isdemeanor Non-Traffic I isdemeanor Traffic I isdemeanor Traffic I isdemeanor Probation Violation I isdemeanor Contempt I isdemeanor Probation I isdemeanor Probation Violation I isdemeanor Probation Violation I is	309 2,414 649 506 0 7 243	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	3.7 3.0 2.9 2.6	\$0.21 \$0.21 \$0.21	\$240.71	\$74,379.39	\$1.18		\$30,440.45
fisdemeanor Non-Traffic fisdemeanor Traffic fisdemeanor Probation Violation thild Support Contempt uvenile	2,414 649 506 0 7 243	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	3.0 2.9 2.6	\$0.21 \$0.21				\$364.62	
fisdemeanor Traffic fisdemeanor Probation Violation child Support Contempt uvenile other	649 506 0 7 243	\$65 \$65 \$65 \$65	2.9 2.6	\$0.21	\$195.21	0471 224 24			\$74,744.01
fisidemeanor Probation Violation Shild Support Contempt uvenile Other	506 0 7 243 0	\$65 \$65 \$65	2.6			\$471,236.94	\$1.18	\$2,848.52	\$474,085.46
ihild Support Contempt uvenile other	0 7 243 0	\$65 \$65		00.01	\$188.71	\$122,472.79	\$1.18	\$765.82	\$123,238.61
uvenile ther	7 243 0	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$85,620.26	\$1.18	\$597.08	\$86,217.34
other	243		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
	0	0	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$1,366.47	\$1.18	\$8.26	\$1,374.73
commitment		\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$47,436.03	\$1.18	\$286.74	\$47,722.77
	439	\$65	0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Vithdrawals	(3)	\$65	2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$65,722.69	\$1.18	\$518.02	\$66,240.71
sistrict Court Total	5,629					\$1,105,025.09		\$6,642.22	\$1,111,667.31
uperior Court									
capital (1 attny)	13	\$85	192.0	\$978.29	\$17,298.29	\$224,877.77	\$1.18	\$15.34	\$224,893.11
Papital MARs	0		1,2.0	\$12.53	\$12.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Ion-Capital First Degree Murder	0		42.4	\$12.53	\$3,616.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
elony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	0		41.7	\$12.53	\$2,723.03	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
elony B1	17	,	19.6	\$12.53		\$21,871.01	\$1.18	\$20.06	\$21,891.07
elony B2	4	\$65	21.8	\$12.53	\$1,429.53	\$5,718.12	\$1.18	\$4.72	\$5,722.84
elony C	63		14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$60,985.89	\$1.18	\$74.34	\$61,060.23
elony D	29		13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53	\$25,622.37	\$1.18	\$34.22	\$25,656.59
elony E	21	\$65	10.0	\$12.53	\$662.53	\$13,913.13	\$1.18	\$24.78	\$13,937.91
elony F	51	\$65	10.0	\$12.53	\$675.53	\$34,452.03	\$1.18	\$60.18	\$34,512.21
elony G	54		8.3	\$12.53	\$552.03	\$29,809.62	\$1.18	\$63.72	\$29,873.34
elony H	37		7.0	\$12.53	\$467.53	\$17,298.61	\$1.18	\$43.66	\$17,342.27
elony I	17	\$65	6.3	\$12.53	\$422.03	\$7,174.51	\$1.18	\$20.06	\$7,194.57
elony Probation Violation	172		3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$37,931.16	\$1.18	\$202.96	\$38,134.12
WI	2		7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$961.06	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$963.42
lisdemeanor Non-Traffic Iisdemeanor Traffic	38		5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$14,802.14	\$1.18	\$44.84 \$8.26	\$14,846.98
	7		4.4	\$12.53 \$12.53	\$298.53	\$2,089.71	\$1.18		\$2,097.97
disdemeanor Probation Violation	20		3.3	\$12.53	\$227.03	\$4,540.60	\$1.18	\$23.60	\$4,564.20
	8		5.1	\$12.53		\$2,752.24	\$1.18	\$9.44	\$2,761.68
Vithdrawals Superior Court Total	49		7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$24,182.97	\$1.18	\$57.82	\$24,240.79
	602			An	1	\$528,982.94	A4 :-1	\$710.36	\$529,693.30
ppeals Il Case Types	6,231	\$65		\$0.00		\$0.00 \$1,634,008.03	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$1,641,360.61
	0,231								
D Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$ 1,487,649	 		\$ 1,487,649
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ 877	 		\$ 877
D Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 1,486,772			\$ 1,486,772
PD Cost Savings/Loss						\$ 147,236			\$ 154,589
ource: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report. Co	anital Dispositions is -1	da pandina aas					ı İ		

	No. of Cases		P.	AC Costs to I	PAC and Administrative Fee Application Processing Costs				
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative Cost
strict Court	<u> </u>			•					
elony	1,376	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$313,328.96	\$1.18	\$1,623.68	\$314,952.64
elony Probation Violation	7	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$1,366.47	\$1.18	\$8.26	\$1,374.73
WI	877	\$65	3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$211,102.67	\$1.18	\$1,034.86	\$212,137.53
isdemeanor Non-Traffic	7,189	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$1,403,364.69	\$1.18	\$8,483.02	\$1,411,847.71
isdemeanor Traffic	1,627	\$65	2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$307,031.17	\$1.18	\$1,919.86	\$308,951.03
isdemeanor Probation Violation	942	\$65	2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$159,395.82	\$1.18	\$1,111.56	\$160,507.38
hild Support Contempt	0	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
ıvenile	0	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
ther	1,537	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$300,037.77	\$1.18	\$1,813.66	\$301,851.43
ommitment	786	\$65	0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$46,146.06	\$1.18	\$927.48	\$47,073.54
ithdrawals	1,514	\$65	2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$226,660.94	\$1.18	\$1,786.52	\$228,447.46
strict Court Total	15,855					\$2,968,434.55		\$18,708.90	\$2,987,143.45
uperior Court									
apital (1 attny)	85	\$85	192.0	\$978.29	\$17,298.29	\$1,470,354.65	\$1.18	\$100.30	\$1,470,454.95
apital MARs	1	\$85	42.4	\$12.53	\$3,616.53	\$3,616.53	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$3,617.71
on-Capital First Degree Murder	0	\$85	42.4	\$12.53	\$3,616.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
elony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	0	\$65	41.7	\$12.53		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
elony B1	27	\$65	19.6	\$12.53	\$1,286.53	\$34,736.31	\$1.18	\$31.86	\$34,768.17
elony B2	6	\$65	21.8	\$12.53	\$1,429.53	\$8,577.18	\$1.18	\$7.08	\$8,584.26
elony C	72	\$65	14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$69,698.16	\$1.18	\$84.96	\$69,783.12
elony D	145	\$65	13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53	\$128,111.85	\$1.18	\$171.10	\$128,282.95
elony E	39	\$65	10.0	\$12.53	\$662.53	\$25,838.67	\$1.18	\$46.02	\$25,884.69
elony F	64	\$65	10.2	\$12.53	\$675.53	\$43,233.92	\$1.18	\$75.52	\$43,309.44
elony G	198	\$65	8.3	\$12.53	\$552.03	\$109,301.94	\$1.18	\$233.64	\$109,535.58
elony H	606	\$65	7.0	\$12.53	\$467.53	\$283,323.18	\$1.18	\$715.08	\$284,038.26
elony I	248	\$65	6.3	\$12.53	\$422.03	\$104,663.44	\$1.18	\$292.64	\$104,956.08
elony Probation Violation	1,303	\$65	3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$287,350.59	\$1.18	\$1,537.54	\$288,888.13
WI	46	\$65	7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$22,104.38	\$1.18	\$54.28	\$22,158.66
isdemeanor Non-Traffic	60	\$65	5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$23,371.80	\$1.18	\$70.80	\$23,442.60
isdemeanor Traffic	11	\$65	4.4	\$12.53	\$298.53	\$3,283.83	\$1.18	\$12.98	\$3,296.81
isdemeanor Probation Violation	1	\$65	3.3	\$12.53	\$227.03	\$227.03	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$228.21
ther	473	\$65	5.1	\$12.53	\$344.03	\$162,726.19	\$1.18	\$558.14	\$163,284.33
lithdrawals	305	\$65	7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$150,526.65	\$1.18	\$359.90	\$150,886.55
uperior Court Total	3,690					\$2,931,046.30		\$4,354.20	\$2,935,400.50
ppeals	12	\$65		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$14.16	\$14.16
I Case Types	19,557					\$5,899,480.85			\$5,922,558.11
D Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$ 4,315,946			\$ 4,315,946
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ 8,781			\$ 8,781
D Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 4,307,165			\$ 4,307,165
D Cost Savings/Loss						\$ 1,592,316			\$ 1,615,393
						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			, , , , , ,
rug court expenditures are included in Meck. Office expend	litures								

	No. of Cases			PAC Costs t	o IDS	PAC and Administrative Fee Application Processing Costs			
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative
District Court				•					
Felony	569	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$129,566.99	\$1.18	\$671.42	\$130,238.41
Felony Probation Violation	108	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$21,082.68	\$1.18	\$127.44	\$21,210.12
DWI	330	\$65	3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$79,434.30	\$1.18	\$389.40	\$79,823.70
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	1,850	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$361,138.50	\$1.18	\$2,183.00	\$363,321.50
Misdemeanor Traffic	561	\$65	2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$105,866.31	\$1.18	\$661.98	\$106,528.29
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	30		2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$5,076.30	\$1.18	\$35.40	\$5,111.70
Child Support Contempt	0		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Juvenile	261	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$50,949.81	\$1.18	\$307.98	\$51,257.79
Other	209		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$40,798.89	\$1.18	\$246.62	\$41,045.51
Commitment	580	\$65	0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	·	\$1.18	\$684.40	\$34,736.20
Withdrawals	236		2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71		\$1.18	\$278.48	\$35,610.04
District Court Total	4,734	ψ03	2.3	ψ0.21	ψ1+2.71	\$863,297.14	ψ1.10	\$5,586.12	\$868,883.26
Superior Court	4,734					\$603,277.14		ψ3,360.12	\$600,663.20
Capital (1 attny)	1	\$85	192.0	¢079.20	\$17,298.29	¢17.209.20	¢1 10	¢1 10	¢17.200.45
Capital MARs	1 0		192.0	\$978.29 \$12.53	\$17,298.29		\$1.18 \$1.18	\$1.18 \$0.00	\$17,299.47 \$0.00
Non-Capital First Degree Murder	0		12.4	\$12.53			\$1.18		
Felony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)		,	42.4		\$3,616.53			\$0.00	\$0.00
, ,	0		41.7	\$12.53	\$2,723.03	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Felony B1 Felony B2	18		19.6	\$12.53	\$1,286.53	\$23,157.54	\$1.18 \$1.18	\$21.24 \$8.26	\$23,178.78
	27		21.8	\$12.53	\$1,429.53	\$10,006.71			\$10,014.97
Felony C	23		14.7	\$12.53		\$26,136.81 \$20,321.19	\$1.18 \$1.18	\$31.86	\$26,168.67
Felony D			13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53			\$27.14	\$20,348.33
Felony E	12		10.0	\$12.53		\$7,950.36	\$1.18	\$14.16	\$7,964.52
Felony F	40		10.2	\$12.53	\$675.53	\$27,021.20	\$1.18	\$47.20	\$27,068.40
Felony G	82		8.3	\$12.53	\$552.03	\$45,266.46	\$1.18	\$96.76	\$45,363.22
Felony H	211	\$65	7.0	\$12.53	\$467.53	\$98,648.83	\$1.18	\$248.98	\$98,897.81
Felony I	139		6.3	\$12.53	\$422.03	\$58,662.17	\$1.18	\$164.02	\$58,826.19
Felony Probation Violation	239	\$65	3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$52,706.67	\$1.18	\$282.02	\$52,988.69
DWI	8		7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$3,844.24	\$1.18	\$9.44	\$3,853.68
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	5		5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$1,947.65	\$1.18	\$5.90	\$1,953.55
Misdemeanor Traffic	2		4.4	\$12.53	\$298.53	\$597.06	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$599.42
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	0		3.3	\$12.53	\$227.03		\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
Other	2		5.1	\$12.53		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$1.18	\$2.36	\$690.42
Withdrawals	69	\$65	7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53		\$1.18	\$81.42	\$34,134.99
Superior Court Total	885					\$428,306.81		\$1,044.30	\$429,351.11
Appeals	0	\$65	76.8	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
All Case Types	5,619					\$1,291,603.95			\$1,298,234.37
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$ 1,443,095			\$ 1,443,095
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ 404			\$ 404
PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 1,442,691			\$ 1,442,691
PD Cost Savings/Loss						\$ (151,087)			\$ (144,457)
Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report.	+	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			.	l			

	FY06 28 Bund	compe Col	inty PD Of	rice Costs Co	sted Out as	PAC Cases				
	No. of Cases	PAC Costs to IDS					PAC and Administrative Fee Application Processing Costs			
	FY06 Dispositions	Std. Hourly Rate	Avg Hrs per Fee App	PI & Mitigator Costs per Fee App	Cost Per Fee App Cost to IDS	FY06 Total Cost to IDS	Payment Processing Cost per Fee App	Total Payment Processing Costs	Total Administrative Cost	
District Court								-		
Felony	485	\$65	3.5	\$0.21	\$227.71	\$110,439.35	\$1.18	\$572.30	\$111,011.65	
Felony Probation Violation	292	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$57,001.32	\$1.18	\$344.56	\$57,345.88	
DWI	303	\$65	3.7	\$0.21	\$240.71	\$72,935.13	\$1.18	\$357.54	\$73,292.67	
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	2,065	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$403,108.65	\$1.18	\$2,436.70	\$405,545.35	
Misdemeanor Traffic	836	\$65	2.9	\$0.21	\$188.71	\$157,761.56	\$1.18	\$986.48	\$158,748.04	
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	378	\$65	2.6	\$0.21	\$169.21	\$63,961.38	\$1.18	\$446.04	\$64,407.42	
Child Support Contempt	0	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Juvenile	127	\$65	3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$24,791.67	\$1.18	\$149.86	\$24,941.53	
Other	29		3.0	\$0.21	\$195.21	\$5,661.09	\$1.18	\$34.22	\$5,695.31	
Commitment	320	\$65	0.9	\$0.21	\$58.71	\$18,787.20	\$1.18	\$377.60	\$19,164.80	
Withdrawals	83	\$65	2.3	\$0.21	\$149.71	\$12,425.93	\$1.18	\$97.94	\$12,523.87	
District Court Total	4,918			·		\$926,873.28		\$5,803.24	\$932,676.52	
Superior Court	<u> </u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>	
Capital (1 attny)	6	\$85	192.0	\$978.29	\$17.298.29	\$103,789.74	\$1.18	\$7.08	\$103,796.82	
Capital MARs	0		1,2.0	\$12.53	,	,	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Non-Capital First Degree Murder	0		42.4	\$12.53	\$3,616.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Felony A (Access. Before Fact or Viol. Hab. Felon)	1	\$65	41.7	\$12.53	\$2,723.03		\$1.18	\$1.18	\$2,724.21	
Felony B1	10		19.6	\$12.53	\$1,286.53	\$12,865.30	\$1.18	\$11.80	\$12,877.10	
Felony B2	0		21.8	\$12.53	\$1,429.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Felony C	44		14.7	\$12.53	\$968.03	\$42,593.32	\$1.18	\$51.92	\$42,645.24	
Felony D	25		13.4	\$12.53	\$883.53	\$22,088.25	\$1.18	\$29.50	\$22,117.75	
Felony E	10		10.0	\$12.53	\$662.53	\$6,625.30	\$1.18	\$11.80	\$6,637.10	
Felony F	49		10.0	\$12.53	\$675.53	\$33,100.97	\$1.18	\$57.82	\$33,158.79	
Felony G	43		8.3	\$12.53	\$552.03	\$23,737.29	\$1.18	\$50.74	\$23,788.03	
Felony H	183		7.0	\$12.53	\$467.53	\$85,557.99	\$1.18	\$215.94	\$85,773.93	
Felony I	124		6.3	\$12.53	\$422.03	\$52,331.72	\$1.18	\$146.32	\$52,478.04	
Felony Probation Violation	292		3.2	\$12.53	\$220.53	\$64,394.76	\$1.18	\$344.56	\$64,739.32	
DWI	0		7.2	\$12.53	\$480.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Misdemeanor Non-Traffic	0		5.8	\$12.53	\$389.53	\$0.00	\$1.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Misdemeanor Traffic	1	\$65	4.4	\$12.53	\$298.53	\$298.53	\$1.18	\$1.18	\$299.71	
Misdemeanor Probation Violation	4		3.3	\$12.53	\$298.33	\$908.12	\$1.18	\$4.72	\$912.84	
Other	101	\$65	5.1	\$12.53	\$344.03	\$34,747.03	\$1.18	\$119.18	\$34,866.21	
	101									
Withdrawals Superior Court Total	905	\$65	7.4	\$12.53	\$493.53	\$5,922.36 \$491,683.71	\$1.18	\$14.16 \$1,067.90	\$5,936.52 \$492,751.61	
		A		Φ0.00	T T		h+ +0			
Appeals All Case Types	5 823	\$65		\$0.00		\$0.00	4	\$0.00	\$0.00	
**	5,823				T	\$1,418,556.99		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$1,425,428.13	
PD Office Expenditures (includes general PD expend. Allocated)						\$ 1,166,209			\$ 1,166,209	
Court Reporter Expenditures						\$ 714			\$ 714	
PD Office Expenditures (Less Court Reporter Expenditures)						\$ 1,165,495			\$ 1,165,495	
PD Cost Savings/Loss						\$ 253,062			\$ 259,933	
Source: AOC, Court Service Division, year-end Public Defender Report. C										
Capital avg. hrs per fee app calculated by ABA caseload guidelines, 10 case	es per year maximum wh	icn equals 192 ho	urs per case.			1	1			

FY06 PD PAC CBA.xls: 28 PAC 3/12/2007, 2:12 PM